arXiv:1103.0149v2 [math.QA] 15 Nov 2012

ON THE QUANTUM 'AX+B' GROUP

PIOTR STACHURA

Abstract. The more detailed description of the 'ax+b' group of Baaj and Skandalis is presented. In particular we give generators and present formulae for the action of the comultiplication on them. We prove that this quantum group is a defined by the twist.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this work is to give a more detailed description of the quantum 'ax+b' group of Baaj and Skandalis [1, 2, 3]. In particular we describe the C^*-algebra by generators and relations, show that the comultiplication is given by a twist and compute an action of the comultiplication on generators. We also show that this quantum group is a quantization of a Poisson-Lie structure on the classsical 'ax+b' group.

On the Hopf $*$-algebra level this group is given by generators $A\,,\ A^{-1}\,,\ Z$ and relations [2]:

$$A=A^*\ ,\ \ Z=-Z^*\,,\ [A,Z]=A(1-A)$$

Together with comultiplication Δ, counit ϵ and antipode S:

$$\Delta(A)=A\otimes A\ ,\ \Delta(Z)=Z\otimes A+1\otimes Z\ ,\epsilon(A)=1\,,\ \epsilon(Z)=0\ ,\ S(A)=A^{-1}\ ,\ S(Z)=-ZA^{-1}$$

If one wants to find a C^*-algebra with affiliated elements A, Z that satisfy these commutation relations, first step is to represent them on a Hilbert space. One hopes to find A, A^{-1}–unbounded, invertible, selfadjoint operators and iZ–selfadjoint, satisfying in some reasonable sense the relation $[A,Z]=A(1-A)$. This is possible but the most natural choice leads to the situation where $\Delta(iZ)$ is symmetric but not selfadjoint.

One can rewrite these relations using different generators and this is the way we choose. Let us define $Y:=A^{-1}-I$ and $X:=iZ$. These elements satisfy:

$$\begin{gathered}Y=Y^*\,,\ X=X^*\,,\ [X,Y]=iY\,,\\ \Delta(Y)=Y\otimes Y+I\otimes Y+Y\otimes I\,,\ \Delta(X)=X\otimes(Y+I)^{-1}+I\otimes X\end{gathered}\tag{1}$$

These are relations we are going to give a meaning to.

In the following we will use groupoid algebras, so now we recall basic facts and establish the relevant notation. The category of groupoids used here is described in [4] and in a differential setting in [5]. *A groupoid* is a set Γ together with a subset $E\subset\Gamma$ (the set of identities), an associative relation (multiplication) $m:\Gamma\times\Gamma\longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\triangleright\,\Gamma$ and an involutive mapping (inverse) $s:\Gamma\to\Gamma$. They satisfy certain relations that entail the existence of two projections $e_L, e_R:\Gamma\to E$ (target and source projections) and the fact that m is a mapping defined on the set $\{(x,y)\in\Gamma\times\Gamma : e_R(x)=e_L(y)\}$ of composable pairs; this is the standard notion of groupoid. The definition of *a morphism of groupoids* used here is different, however. By a morphism of groupoids Γ,Γ' we mean a relation $h:\Gamma\longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\triangleright\,\Gamma'$ which satisfies: $hm=m'(h\times h)$, $hs=s'h$ and $hE=E'$ (see [4, 5]). In a differential setting Γ is a smooth(Hausdorff) manifold, the set of units and the set of composable pairs are closed submanifolds, s is a diffeomorphism, m is a differential reduction and e_L, e_R are surjective submersions.

A bisection of a differential groupoid Γ is a submanifold $B \subset \Gamma$ such that $e_L|_B$ and $e_R|_B$ are diffeomorphisms $B \to E$. If $B \subset \Gamma$ is a bisection and $h : \Gamma \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rhd \Gamma'$ is a morphism then $h(B)$ is a bisection of Γ'.

Let Γ be a differential groupoid and let $\Omega_L^{1/2}, \Omega_R^{1/2}$ denote the bundles of complex half densities along left and right fibers. *A groupoid *-algebra* $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ is a vector space of compactly supported, smooth sections of $\Omega_L^{1/2} \otimes \Omega_R^{1/2}$ together with a convolution and $*$-operation. To write explicit formulae let us choose λ_0 - a real, nonvanishing, left invariant half density along left fibers (in fact that means we choose a Haar system on Γ, the "choice-free" definition and other detailes are given in [7].) Let $\rho_0 := s(\lambda_0)$ be the corresponding right invariant half density, and $\omega_0 := \lambda_0 \otimes \rho_0$. Then any $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ can be written as $\omega = f\omega_0$ for a function $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ (smooth, compactly supported). With such a choice we write $(f_1\omega_0)\,(f_2\omega_0) =: (f_1 * f_2)\omega_0$, $(f\omega_0)^* =: (f^*)\omega_0$ and:

$$(f_1 * f_2)(\gamma) := \int \lambda_0^2(\gamma')f_1(\gamma')f_2(s(\gamma')\gamma) = \int \rho_0^2(\gamma')f_1(\gamma s(\gamma')f_2(\gamma')\ ,\ f^*(\gamma) := \overline{f(s(\gamma))} \tag{2}$$

The first integral is over the left fiber passing through γ, the second over the right one.
The choice of ω_0 defines a norm on $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$:

$$||f\omega_0||_0 =: ||f||_0 = max\left\{\sup_{e\in E}\int_{e_L^{-1}(e)} \lambda_0^2(\gamma)|f(\gamma)|,\ \sup_{e\in E}\int_{e_R^{-1}(e)} \rho_0^2(\gamma)|f(\gamma)|\right\}$$

With this norm $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ is a normed $*$-algebra.

There is a faithful representation π_{id} of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ on $L^2(\Gamma)$ described as follows: choose ν_0 - a real, nonvanishing half density on E; since e_R is a submersion one can define $\psi_0 := \rho_0 \otimes \nu_0$ - this is a real, nonvanishing, half density on Γ. For $\psi = f_2\psi_0$, $f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ the representation is given by $\pi_{id}(f_1\omega_0)(f_2\psi_0) =: (\pi_{id}(f_1)f_2)\psi_0$ and $\pi_{id}(f_1)f_2 = f_1 * f_2$ is as in (2). The estimate $||\pi_{id}(\omega)|| \leq ||\omega||_0$ makes possible the definition: *The reduced* C^**-algebra of a groupoid* is the completion of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ in the norm $||\omega|| := ||\pi_{id}(\omega)||$. We will also use the following fact which is a direct consequence of the definition of the norm $||f||_0$:

Lemma 1.1. *Let* $U \subset \Gamma$ *be an open set with compact closure. There exists* M *such that* $||f||_0 \leq M \sup|f(\gamma)|$ *for any* $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ *with support in* U*. If* $f_n \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ *have supports in a fixed compact set and* f_n *converges to* $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ *uniformly then* $f_n\omega_0$ *converges to* $f\omega_0$ *in* $C^*_r(\Gamma)$*.* ∎

With a morphism $h : \Gamma \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rhd \Gamma'$ of differential groupoids there is associated a mapping $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\Gamma') \to \mathcal{A}(\Gamma')$, this mapping commutes with a (right) multiplication in $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma')$ and we use notation $\hat{h}(\omega)\omega'$; there is also a representation π_h of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ on $L^2(\Gamma')$; these objects satisfy some obvious compatibility conditions with respect to multiplication and $*$-operation (see [7] for a detailed exposition).

Now we recall some facts about *double groups.* Let G be a group and $A, B \subset G$ subgroups such that $A \cap B = \{e\}$. Every element g in the set $\Gamma := AB \cap BA$ can be written uniquely as

$$g = a_L(g)b_R(g) = b_L(g)a_R(g)\,,\ a_L(g), a_R(g) \in A\,,\ b_L(g), b_R(g) \in B.$$

These decompostions define surjections: $a_L, a_R : \Gamma \to A$ and $b_L, b_R : \Gamma \to B$ (in fact a_L, b_R are defined on AB and b_L, a_R on BA, we will denote these extensions by the same symbols). The formulae:

$$E := A\,,\ s(g) := b_L(g)^{-1}a_L(g) = a_R(g)b_R(g)^{-1}\,,\ Gr(m) := \{(b_1ab_2; b_1a, ab_2) : b_1a, ab_2 \in \Gamma\} \tag{3}$$

define the structure of the groupoid Γ_A over A on Γ. The analogous formulae define the groupoid Γ_B over B. On the other hand for a subgroup $B \subset G$ there is a (right) transformation groupoid $(B \setminus G) \times B$. The following lemma explains relation between these groupoids.

Lemma 1.2. *The map:*

$$\Phi : \Gamma_A \ni g \mapsto ([a_L(g)], b_R(g)) \in (B\backslash G) \times B$$

is an isomorphism of the groupoid Γ_A over A with the restriction of a (right) transformation groupoid $(B\backslash G) \times B$ to the set $\{[a] : a \in A\} \subset B\backslash G$.

Proof: We give only the sketch of the proof. Let $\Gamma_A \ni g = ab = b'a'$. Then $\Phi(g) = ([a], b)$ and $[a] \cdot b = [ab] = [a']$, so $\Phi(\Gamma_A)$ is really contained in the restriction. On the other hand, if $([g], b)$ is an element of the restriction i.e $[g] = [a]$ and $[gb] = [a']$ then the mapping $([g], b) \mapsto a_R(g)b \in G$ is well defined, has image in Γ_A and is the inverse of Φ. Direct computations show that Φ is an isomorphism of groupoids. ■

If $AB = G$ (i.e. $\Gamma = G$) the triple $(G; A, B)$ is called *a double group* and in this situation we will denote groupoids Γ_A, Γ_B by G_A, G_B. It turns out that $\delta := m_B^T : G_A \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\triangleright\, G_A \times G_A$ is a coassociative morphism of groupoids. Applying the lemma 1.2 to the groupoid G_A we can identify it with the transformation groupoid $(B\backslash G) \times B$. So $G_A = A \times B$ is a right transformation groupoid for the action $(a, b) \mapsto a_R(ab)$ i.e the structure is given by:

$$E := \{(a, e) : a \in A\}\,,\; s(a, b) := (a_R(ab), b^{-1}),$$

$$m := \{(a_1, b_1b_2; a_1, b_1, a_R(a_1b_1), b_2) : a_1 \in A\,,\; b_1, b_2 \in B\}$$

In the formula above, we identify a relation $m : \Gamma \times \Gamma \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\triangleright\, \Gamma$ with its graph, i.e. subset of $\Gamma \times \Gamma \times \Gamma$. *We will use such notation throughout the paper.* If G is a Lie group, A, B are closed sugroups, $A \cap B = \{e\}$, $AB = G$ then $(G; A, B)$ is called *a double Lie group.* It turns out that the mapping $\hat{\hat{\delta}}$ extends to the coassociative morphism Δ of $C_r^*(G_A)$ and $C_r^*(G_A \times G_A) = C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A)$ which satisfies *density conditions* (*cls* denotes the closed linear span):

$$cls\{\Delta(a)(I \otimes b) : a, b \in C_r^*(G_A)\} = cls\{\Delta(a)(b \otimes I) : a, b \in C_r^*(G_A)\} = C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A)$$

There are other objects that make the pair $(C_r^*(G_A), \Delta)$ a locally compact quantum group, we refer to [6] for details.

The quantum 'ax+b' does not completely fit into this framework, but as we will see, it is possible to describe it using this approach as a guiding line. The main technical problem is that vector fields that "should" define operators affiliated to a groupoid C^*-algebra are not complete, so the operators are not essentialy selfadjoint on their "natural" domains. So one has to choose right domains or overcome this problem in a different way.

The next section "sets the stage"; in the third one we consider a general situation in which the twist can be defined and apply results to the 'ax+b' group in the fourth section. (The situation from the third section also appears in the κ-Poincare Group, this will be decribed in a forthcoming paper). In the fifth section we give generators and relations and compute the action of comultiplication on them; we also express the twist as a function of generators. In the last section we consider our group as a deformation of a Poisson-Lie group. In the appendix we collect some formulae used in the paper.

2. Setup

Let G be the 'ax+b' group i.e. $G := \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_*$ with the multiplication $(b_1, a_1)(b_2, a_2) := (b_1 + a_1b_2, a_1a_2)$. For $s \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ let us define the closed subgroup of G:

$$C_s := \{(b, 1 + sb) : 1 + sb \neq 0\}\,,\; s \in \mathbb{R}\,;\; C_\infty := \{(0, a) : a \in \mathbb{R}_*\}.$$

(If we treat $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ as a one point compactification of $\mathbb{R}$ the mapping $s \mapsto C_s$ is continuous as a mapping into closed subgroups of G with Fell topology). Let $B := C_0$ and $A := C_\infty$. Note that for $s \neq t$ we have $C_s \cap C_t = \{e\}$ and for $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$: $C_s = \{(\frac{c-1}{s}, c) : c \in \mathbb{R}_*\}$.

For $s \neq t$ let:

$$\Gamma_{st} := C_sC_t \cap C_tC_s = \{(b,a) : stb - sa + t \neq 0\,,\ stb - ta + s \neq 0\} = \Gamma_{ts}$$

It is straightforward to check that each Γ_{st} is open and dense in G, and for $t \neq 0$ $\Gamma_{0t} = G$. Γ_{st} is a differential groupoid over C_s and C_t.

G acts on $\{\Gamma_s : s \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}\}$ by adjoint action and this action induces isomorphisms of corresponding differential groupoids. So it is sufficient to consider the family

$$\Gamma_s := \Gamma_{s\infty} = C_sA \cap AC_s = \{(b,a) : (1+sb)(a-sb) \neq 0\}$$

and grupoid structures over C_s and A. Projections in Γ_s on C_s and A will be denoted by $\tilde{a}_L, \tilde{a}_R : \Gamma_s \to A$ and $\tilde{c}_L, \tilde{c}_R : \Gamma_s \to C_s$, they are given by:

$$\tilde{a}_L(b,a) := (0, a - sb)\,,\ \tilde{a}_R(b,a) := (0, \frac{a}{1+sb}),$$

$$\tilde{c}_L(b,a) := (b, 1+sb)\,,\ \tilde{c}_R(b,a) := (\frac{b}{a-sb}, \frac{a}{a-sb})$$

Remaining parts of structures of groupoids are as follows.
For $\Gamma_s \rightrightarrows C_s$: the inverse $\tilde{s}_C(b,a) := (\frac{b}{a-sb}, \frac{1+sb}{a-sb})$
and the multiplication relation:

$$\tilde{m}_C := \{(b_1, \frac{a_1a_2}{1+sb_2}; b_1, a_1, b_2, a_2) \ :\ b_1 = b_2(a_1 - sb_1)\} \subset \Gamma_s \times \Gamma_s \times \Gamma_s$$

For $\Gamma_s \rightrightarrows A$: the inverse $\tilde{s}_A(b,a) := (\frac{-b}{1+sb}, \frac{a-sb}{1+sb})$
and the multiplication relation:

$$\tilde{m}_A := \{(b_1 + (1+sb_1)b_2, (1+sb_1)a_2; b_1, a_1, b_2, a_2) \ :\ a_1 = (1+sb_1)(a_2 - sb_2)\} \subset \Gamma_s \times \Gamma_s \times \Gamma_s$$

Straightforward computations show that, for $s \neq 0$ the map:

$$\Gamma_s \ni (b,a) \mapsto (-\frac{1}{s}, -1)(b,a)(-\frac{1}{s}, -1)^{-1} = (-\frac{1}{s} - b + \frac{a}{s}, a) \in \Gamma_s$$

is an isomorphism of $\Gamma_s \rightrightarrows C_s$ and $\Gamma_s \rightrightarrows A$.

Groupoid structures on $\Gamma_0 = G$ are given by the double Lie group $(G; A, B)$; for $s \neq 0$ the map: $\Gamma_s \ni (b,a) \mapsto (0,s)(b,a)(0,s)^{-1} = (sb, a) \in \Gamma_1$ gives isomorphisms of both groupoid structures, so it is enaugh to consider $s = 1$.

Let us now denote $C := C_1$ and $\Gamma := \Gamma_1$. On Γ there are two (isomorphic) groupoid structures:
$\Gamma_C : \Gamma \rightrightarrows C$ with structure

$$\tilde{c}_L(b,a) = (b, 1+b)\,,\ \tilde{c}_R(b,a) = (\frac{b}{a-b}, \frac{a}{a-b})\,,\ \tilde{s}_C(b,a) := (\frac{b}{a-b}, \frac{1+b}{a-b})$$

$$\tilde{m}_C = \{(b_1, \frac{a_1a_2}{1+b_2}; b_1, a_1, b_2, a_2) \ :\ b_1 = b_2(a_1 - b_1)\} \tag{4}$$

$\Gamma_A : \Gamma \rightrightarrows A$ with structure

$$a_L(b,a) = (0, a-b)\,,\ a_R(b,a) = (0, \frac{a}{1+b})\,,\ s_A(b,a) = (\frac{-b}{1+b}, \frac{a-b}{1+b}),$$

$$m_A = \{(b_1 + (1+b_1)b_2, (1+b_1)a_2; b_1, a_1, b_2, a_2) \ :\ a_1 = (1+b_1)(a_2 - b_2)\} \tag{5}$$

Since $(G; B, C)$ is a double Lie group there are two groupoid structures on G:
$G_B : G \rightrightarrows B$:

$$b_L(b,a) := (b - a + 1, 1)\,,\ b_R(b,a) := (\frac{b-a+1}{a}, 1)\,,\ s_B(b,a) := (\frac{2-2a+b}{a}, \frac{1}{a})$$

$$m_B := \{(b_1 + a_1(a_2 - 1), a_1a_2; b_1, a_1, b_2, a_2) : 1 + b_1 - a_1 = a_1(1 + b_2 - a_2)\} \tag{6}$$

$G_C : G \rightrightarrows C$:

$$c_L(b,a) := (a-1,a) = c_R(b,a) := , \ s_C(b,a) := (2-2a-b,a)$$

$$m_C := \{(b_1+b_2+1-a, a; b_1, a, b_2, a)\} \tag{7}$$

Let us denote $\delta_0 := m_C^T$; this is a coassociative morphism: $G_B \longrightarrow\!\!\triangleright\, G_B \times G_B$.

To summarize, we arrive at the following situation: there is a Lie group G and three closed subgroups A, B, C satisfying conditons

$$G = BC\,,\ A \cap C = B \cap C = \{e\}$$

This is investigated in the next section.

3. The twist

Let G be a group and $A, B, C \subset G$ subgroups satisfying conditions:

$$A \cap B = \{e\} = C \cap B\,,\ AB = G. \tag{8}$$

As described above, in this situation, there is the groupoid G_A, and the (coassociative) morphism $\delta_0 : G_A \longrightarrow\!\!\triangleright\, G_A \times G_A$, explicitely the graph of δ_0 is equal to:

$$\delta_0 = \{(a_1 b, b a_2; a_1 b a_2) \ : \ a_1, a_2 \in A\,,\ b \in B\} \tag{9}$$

Let us note that $b_L(g), a_L(g)$ and $b_R(g), a_R(g)$ determine g uniquely:

$$g = a_R(a_R(g) b_R(g)^{-1}) b_R(g) = b_L(g) a_L(b_L(g)^{-1} a_L(g))$$

Using the lemma 1.2 we see that this is a transformation groupoid $(B\backslash G) \times B$ and the isomorphism is

$$(B\backslash G) \times B \ni ([g], b) \mapsto a_R(g) b \in G$$

Let $\Gamma := CB \cap BC$ and consider on Γ the groupoid structure Γ_C over C described above together with a relation

$$\tilde{m}_B^T := \{(c_1 b_1, b_1 c_2; c_1 b_1 c_2) : c_1 b_1, b_1 c_2 \in \Gamma\} \subset \Gamma \times \Gamma \times \Gamma.$$

The corresponding projections will be denoted by $\tilde{b}_L, \tilde{b}_R$ and c_R, c_L. Again, by the lemma 1.2 we identify the groupoid $\Gamma \rightrightarrows C$ with the restriction of $(B\backslash G) \times B$ and then with the restriction of G_A to the set $A' := A \cap BC$, i.e. with $a_L^{-1}(A') \cap a_R^{-1}(A')$. This isomorphism is given by:

$$\Gamma_C \ni cb \mapsto a_R(c) b \in G_A$$

The image of $\tilde{m}_B^T$ is equal to:

$$\{(a_R(c_1) b_1, a_R(c_2) b_2; a_R(c_1 c_2) b_2) : c_1 b_1 = \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{c}_1, b_1 \tilde{c}_2 = c_2 b_2\}$$

Let us now define the basic object of this section

$$T := \{(g, a) : b_R(g) a \in C\} = \{(a_1 \tilde{b}_L(a_2)^{-1}, a_2) : a_1 \in A, a_2 \in A'\} \subset G_A \times G_A. \tag{10}$$

Using the definition (9) of δ_0 one easily computes images of T by relations $id \times \delta_0$ and $\delta_0 \times id$:

$$(id \times \delta_0) T = \{(g_1, a_2, a_3) : a_2 a_3 \in A'\,,\ b_R(g_1) = \tilde{b}_L(a_2 a_3)^{-1}\} \tag{11}$$

$$(\delta_0 \times id) T = \{(g_1, g_2, a_3) : b_R(g_1) = b_L(g_2)\,,\ a_3 \in A'\,,\ b_R(g_2) = \tilde{b}_L(a_3)^{-1}\} \tag{12}$$

Let us also denote $T_{12} := T \times A \subset G_A \times G_A \times G_A$ and $T_{23} := A \times T \subset G_A \times G_A \times G_A$.
The main properties of T are listed in the following

Proposition 3.1. (1) *T is a section of left and right projections (in $G_A \times G_A$) over the set $A \times A'$ and a bisection of $G_A \times \Gamma_C$;*

(2) *$(id\times\delta_0)T$ is a section of left and right projections (in $G_A\times G_A\times G_A$) over the set $A\times\delta_0(A')=\{(a_1,a_2,a_3): a_2a_3\in A'\}$;*
(3) *$(\delta_0\times id)T$ is a section of left and right projections over the set $A\times A\times A'$;*
(4) *$T_{23}(id\times\delta_0)T=T_{12}(\delta_0\times id)T$ (equality of sets in $G_A\times G_A\times G_A$), moreover this set is a section of the right projection over $A\times(\delta_0(A')\cap(A\times A'))$ and the left projection over $A\times A'\times A'$.*

Proof: 1) Since the "right leg" of T is A' it is clear that if T is a section of left and right projection over $A\times A'$ it is a bisection of $G_A\times\Gamma_C$. The formula (10) implies that T is a section of $a_L\times a_L$ over $A\times A'$. But since $g\in G$ is determined by $a_R(g)$ and $b_R(g)$, it is enaugh to show that for $(a_1,a_2)\in A\times A'$ there exists g_1 with $a_R(g_1)=a_1$ and $(g_1,a_2)\in T$. So let $a_2=: b_0c_0$ then for $g_1:=s_A(a_1b_0)$ we have $a_R(g_1)=a_1$ and $b_R(g_1)=b_0^{-1}$ so (g_1,a_2) belongs to T.
2) From formula (11) it follows that $(id\times\delta_0)T$ is a section of the left projection over $A\times\delta_0(A')$. As in point 1), for $(a_1,a_2,a_3)\in A\times\delta_0(A')$ with $a_2a_3=: b_0c_0$ one has $a_R(s_A(a_1b_0))=a_1$ and $(s_A(a_1b_0),a_2,a_3)\in(id\times\delta_0)T$.
3) Follows directly from formula (12).
4) By direct computation one gets:

$$T_{23}(id\times\delta_0)T=\{(g_1,g_2,a_3): a_3, a_R(g_2)a_3\in A'\,,\ b_R(g_2)=\tilde b_L(a_3)^{-1}\,,\ b_R(g_1)=\tilde b_L(a_R(g_2)a_3)^{-1}\}$$

and the same result for $T_{12}(\delta_0\times id)T$. This formula implies that for any $(a_1,a_2,a_3)\in A\times(\delta_0(A')\cap(A\times A'))$ there exists exactly one pair (g_1,g_2) such that $a_1=a_R(g_1), a_2=a_R(g_2)$ and $(g_1,g_2,a_3)\in T_{23}(id\times\delta_0)T$. It remains to prove that it is a section of the left projection over $A\times A'\times A'$. It is clear that for a given (a_1,a_2,a_3) there exists at most one pair (g_1,g_2) such that $a_1=a_L(g_1), a_2=a_L(g_2)$ and $(g_1,g_2,a_3)\in T_{23}(id\times\delta_0)T$. The rest follows from the following observation:
Let $a_3\in A'$, $a_3=: b_0c_0$ then

$$a\in A' \iff a_R(ab_0^{-1})a_3\in A'$$

Indeed if $a=:\tilde b_0\tilde c_0$ then

$$a_R(ab_0^{-1})a_3=b_L(ab_0^{-1})^{-1}ab_0^{-1}a_3=b_L(ab_0^{-1})^{-1}ac_0=b_L(ab_0^{-1})^{-1}\tilde b_0\tilde c_0c_0\in BC$$

On the other direction,

$$a_R(ab_0^{-1})a_3\in BC\Rightarrow ab_0^{-1}a_3\in BC\Rightarrow ac_0\in BC\Rightarrow a\in BC$$

■

Because of the prop 3.1 the left multiplication by T, which we denote by the same symbol, is a bijection of $G_A\times a_L^{-1}(A')$; also the left multiplication by $(\delta_0\times id)T$, which will be denoted by T_1, is a bijection of $G_A\times G_A\times a_L^{-1}(A')$, and the left multiplication by $(id\times\delta_0)T$, denoted by T_2, is a bijection of $G_A\times(a_L\times a_L)^{-1}(\delta_0(A'))$. These mappings are given by:

$$T:(a_1b_1,a_2b_2)\mapsto(s_A(a_1\tilde b_L(a_2))b_1,a_2b_2)=(b_L(a_1\tilde b_L(a_2))^{-1}a_1b_1,a_2b_2)= \\ =(a_R(a_1\tilde b_L(a_2))\tilde b_L(a_2)^{-1}b_1,a_2b_2) \tag{13}$$

$$T^{-1}:(a_1b_1,a_2b_2)\mapsto(s_A(a_1\tilde b_L(a_2)^{-1})b_1,a_2b_2)$$

$$T_1:(a_1b_1,a_2b_2,a_3b_3)\mapsto(s_A(a_1b_L(a_2\tilde b_L(a_3)))b_1,s_A(a_2\tilde b_L(a_3))b_2,a_3b_3)= \\ =(b_L(a_1a_2\tilde b_L(a_3))^{-1}a_1b_1,b_L(a_2\tilde b_L(a_3))^{-1}a_2b_2,a_3b_3) \tag{14}$$

$$T_1^{-1}:(a_1b_1,a_2b_2,a_3b_3)\mapsto(s_A(a_1b_L(a_2\tilde b_L(a_3)^{-1}))b_1,s_A(a_2\tilde b_L(a_3)^{-1})b_2,a_3b_3)$$

$$T_2:(a_1b_1,a_2b_2,a_3b_3)\mapsto(s_A(a_1\tilde b_L(a_2a_3))b_1,a_2b_2,a_3b_3)= \\ =(b_L(a_1\tilde b_L(a_2a_3))^{-1}a_1b_1,a_2b_2,a_3b_3) \tag{15}$$

$$T_2^{-1} : (a_1b_1, a_2b_2, a_3b_3) \mapsto (s_A(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)^{-1})b_1, a_2b_2, a_3b_3)$$

The composition $T_{12}T_1 = T_{23}T_2$ is a bijection from $G_A \times (a_L \times a_L)^{-1}(\delta_0(A') \cap (A \times A'))$ to $G_A \times (a_L \times a_L)^{-1}(A' \times A')$ and is given by:

$$T_{12}T_1 : (a_1b_1, a_2b_2, a_3b_3) \mapsto (b_L(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3))^{-1}a_1b_1, b_L(a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3))^{-1}a_2b_2, a_3b_3)$$

Let $Ad_T : G_A \times G_A \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\triangleright\, G_A \times G_A$ be a relation defined by:

$$(g_1, g_2; g_3, g_4) \in Ad_T \iff \exists t_1, t_2 \in T : (g_1, g_2) = t_1(g_3, g_4)(s_A \times s_A)(t_2).$$

Using the definition of T (10) one gets:

$$Ad_T = \{(a_R(a_3\tilde{b}_L(a_4))\tilde{b}_L(a_4)^{-1}b_3\tilde{b}_L(a_R(a_4b_4)), a_4b_4; a_3b_3, a_4b_4) : a_4, a_R(a_4b_4) \in A'\}.$$

Finally, let us define the relation $\delta := Ad_T \cdot \delta_0 : G_A \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\triangleright\, G_A \times G_A$.

$$\delta = \{(a_R(a_3a_2^{-1}\tilde{b}_L(a_2))\tilde{b}_L(a_2)^{-1}b_L(a_2b_2)\tilde{b}_L(a_R(a_2b_2)), a_2b_2; a_3b_2) : a_2, a_R(a_2b_2) \in A'\} \tag{16}$$

Lemma 3.2. *δ is an extension of $\tilde{m}_B^T$ i.e. $\tilde{m}_B^T \subset \delta$*

Proof: Recall that

$$\tilde{m}_B^T = \{(a_R(c_1)b_1, a_R(c_2)b_2; a_R(c_1c_2)b_2) : c_1b_1 = \tilde{b}_1\tilde{c}_1, b_1\tilde{c}_2 = c_2b_2\}$$

By lemma 1.2 $a_R(c_2)$ and $a_R(a_R(c_2)b_2)$ are in A'. So it remains to prove that:

$$a_R(a_R(c_1c_2)a_R(c_2)^{-1}\tilde{b}_L(a_R(c_2)))\tilde{b}_L(a_R(c_2))^{-1}b_L(a_R(c_2)b_2)\tilde{b}_L(a_R(a_R(c_2)b_2)) = a_R(c_1)b_1$$

Let $c_2 = b_0a_0$ then $a_R(c_2) = a_0$ and $\tilde{b}_L(a_R(c_2)) = b_0^{-1}$. We have:

$$a_R(a_R(c_1c_2)a_R(c_2)^{-1}\tilde{b}_L(a_R(c_2))) = a_R(c_1c_2a_0^{-1}b_0^{-1}) = a_R(c_1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_R(c_1)\tilde{b}_L(a_R(c_2))^{-1}b_L(a_R(c_2)b_2)\tilde{b}_L(a_R(a_R(c_2)b_2)) &= a_R(c_1)b_0b_L(a_0b_2)\tilde{b}_L(a_R(a_R(c_2)b_2)) = \\ = a_R(c_1)b_L(b_0a_0b_2)\tilde{b}_L(a_R(b_0a_0b_2)) &= a_R(c_1)\tilde{b}_L(b_L(b_0a_0b_2)a_R(b_0a_0b_2)) = \\ &= a_R(c_1)\tilde{b}_L(c_2b_2)) = a_R(c_1)b_1 \end{aligned}$$

■

Everything above was purely algebraic. Now we add some differential conditions, that enable us to use T to twist the comultiplication on $C_r^*(G_A)$.

The following are standing assumptions for the rest of this section

Assumptions 3.3.
1. *G is a Lie group and A, B, C are closed Lie subgroups such that $A \cap B = \{e\} = C \cap B$, $AB = G$.*
2. *The set $\Gamma := BC \cap CB$ is open and dense in G.*
3. *Let $U := a_L^{-1}(A')$ and $\mathcal{A}(U)$ be the linear space of elements from $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$ supported in U. We assume that $\mathcal{A}(U)$ is dense in $C_r^*(G_A)$.*
4. *For a compact set $K_B \subset B$, open $V \subset A$ and $(a_1, a_2) \in A \times A'$ let us define a set $Z(a_1, a_2, K_B; V) := K_B \cap \{b \in B : a_R(a_1b)a_2 \in V\}$ and a function:*

$$A \times A' \ni (a_1, a_2) \mapsto \mu(a_1, a_2, K_B; V) := \int_{Z(a_1,a_2,K_B;V)} d_l b.$$

For compact sets $K_1 \subset A$ and $K_2 \subset A'$ let $\mu(K_1, K_2, K_B; V) := \sup\{\mu(a_1, a_2, K_B; V) : a_1 \in K_1, a_2 \in K_2\}$ We assume that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0\, \exists V - \text{a neighbourhood of } A \setminus A' \text{ in A} : \mu(K_1, K_2, K_B; V) \le \epsilon \tag{17}$$

These technical assumptions are sufficient to prove all we need and are satisfied in examples we are intersted in (probably they can be weakened and some of them imply others). The strategy is to interpret all in $C^*_r(G_A)$ where there is a well defined comultiplication. The first simple result is:

Lemma 3.4. $C^*_r(\Gamma_C) = C^*_r(G_A)$

Proof: Let U and $\mathcal{A}(U)$ be as in assumptions 3.3. Then $J := \mathcal{A}(U)$ is a right ideal in $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$. Then J^* is a left ideal which is also dense in $C^*_r(G_A)$. The subalgebra JJ^* is contained in $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$. Every positive element in $C^*_r(G_A)$ can be approximated by elements from JJ^*, so the same is true for every element in $C^*_r(G_A)$.

■

It is straightforward to check that assumptions 3.3 guarantee that all sets appearing in prop 3.1 are submanifolds and the corresponding mappings are diffeomorphisms. Since T is a bisection over the open set $A \times A'$ it defines (by a push-forward) mapping of $\mathcal{A}(G_A \times U)$ which will be denoted again by T. The prop 3.1 suggests that it can be used to twist the comultiplication Δ_0 on $C^*_r(G_A)$. This is the content of the next proposition.

Proposition 3.5. *a) The mapping* $T : \mathcal{A}(G_A \times U) \to \mathcal{A}(G_A \times U)$ *extends to a unitary* $\widehat{\mathcal{T}} \in M(C^*_r(G_A) \otimes C^*_r(G_A))$ *which satisfies:*

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{T}} \otimes I)(\Delta_0 \otimes id)\widehat{\mathcal{T}} = (I \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{T}})(id \otimes \Delta_0)\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$$

b) Because of a), the formula $\Delta(a) := \widehat{\mathcal{T}}\Delta_0(a)\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{-1}$ *defines a coassociative morphism. For this morphism:*

$$cls\{\Delta(a)(I \otimes b)\,,\ a,b \in C^*_r(G_A)\} = cls\{\Delta(a)(b \otimes I)\,,\ a,b \in C^*_r(G_A)\} = C^*_r(G_A) \otimes C^*_r(G_A)$$

(”cls” stands for ”closed linear span”).

The rest of this section is dedicated to the proof of this proposition.
Proof of the statement a):
Mappings $T, T_1, T_2, T_{12}, T_{23}, T_{12}T_1 = T_{23}T_2$ are originally defined on linear subspaces of $\mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$ and $\mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A \times G_A)$. So the first task is to extend them to multipliers. To this end we will use the following simple lemma:

Lemma 3.6. *Let A be a C^*-algebra and V_1, V_2 be dense linear subspaces of A. Suppose that a linear bijection $T : V_1 \to V_2$ satisfies $\omega_2^*(T\omega_1) = (T^{-1}\omega_2)^*\omega_1$ for any $\omega_2 \in V_2$, $\omega_1 \in V_1$. Then T extends to a unitary multiplier of A.* ■

We need also the lemma which is a straightforward generalization of the similar fact for a bisection which was proven in [7].

Lemma 3.7. *Let Γ be a differential groupoid and $C \subset \Gamma$ be a submanifold such that $e_L|_C : C \to C_l$ and $e_R|_C : C \to C_r$ are diffeomorphisms onto open sets $C_r, C_l \subset E$. Then for $\omega_1 \in \mathcal{A}(e_L^{-1}(C_r))$, $\omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}(e_L^{-1}(C_l))$ we have: $\omega_2^*(C\omega_1) = (s(C)\omega_2)^*\omega_1$ and $(C\omega_1)^*(C\omega_1) = \omega_1^*\omega_1$.* ■

Using the lemma we obtain equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_2^*(T\omega_1) &= (T^{-1}\omega_2)^*\omega_1\,,\ \ \omega_1,\omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A \times U)\,,\\
\omega_2^*(T_1\omega_1) &= (T_1^{-1}\omega_2)^*\omega_1\,,\ \ \omega_1,\omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A \times U)\,,\\
\omega_2^*(T_2\omega_1) &= (T_2^{-1}\omega_2)^*\omega_1\,,\ \ \omega_1,\omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}(U_2)\,,\\
\omega_2^*(T_{23}\omega_1) &= (T_{23}^{-1}\omega_2)^*\omega_1\,,\ \ \omega_1,\omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A \times U)\,,\\
\omega_2^*(T_{12}\omega_1) &= (T_{12}^{-1}\omega_2)^*\omega_1\,;\ \omega_1,\omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A \times U \times G_A),
\end{aligned}$$

where $U_2 := G_A \times (a_L \times a_L)^{-1}(\delta_0(A'))$

Now, by assumptions 3.3 (3) it is clear that $\mathcal{A}(G_A \times U)$, $\mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A \times U)$ and $\mathcal{A}(G_A \times U \times G_A)$ are dense in $C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A)$ and $C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A)$ respectively, so we have multipliers $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$, $\widehat{T_1}$, $\widehat{T_{12}}$ and $\widehat{T_{23}}$.

To extend T_2 we need density of $\mathcal{A}(U_2)$ i.e density of $\mathcal{A}((a_L \times a_L)^{-1}(\delta_0(A'))$. By the results of [6], the set $\widehat{\delta_0}(\mathcal{A}(G_A))\mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$ is linearly dense in $C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A)$, since $\mathcal{A}(U)$ is dense, the same is true for the set $\widehat{\delta_0}(\mathcal{A}(U))\mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$, using formula (43) one checks that this set is contained in $\mathcal{A}((a_L \times a_L)^{-1}(\delta_0(A'))$. Therefore T_2 extends to unitary multiplier.

Now, we know that multipliers $\widehat{T_{12}}\widehat{T_1}$ and $\widehat{T_{23}}\widehat{T_2}$ restricted to the linear space $\mathcal{A}(G_A \times (a_L \times a_L)^{-1}(\delta_0(A') \cap (A \times A')))$ are equal and defines bijection to $\mathcal{A}(G_A \times U \times U)$ Since the last set is dense in $C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A)$ they must be equal.

Now we have multipliers $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$, $\widehat{T_1}$, $\widehat{T_2}$, $\widehat{T_{12}}$ and $\widehat{T_{23}}$ together with the equation $\widehat{T_{12}}\widehat{T_1} = \widehat{T_{23}}\widehat{T_2}$. So to prove the statement a) of the proposition, it remains to show equalities:

$$\widehat{T_{12}} = (\widehat{\mathcal{T}} \otimes I)\,,\ \widehat{T_1} = (\Delta_0 \otimes id)\widehat{\mathcal{T}}\,,\ \widehat{T_{23}} = (I \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{T}})\,,\ \widehat{T_2} = (id \otimes \Delta_0)\widehat{\mathcal{T}}.$$

For $\widehat{T_{23}}$ and $(I \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{T}})$ this is straightforward, since both multipliers agree on a dense set $\mathcal{A}(G_A) \otimes \mathcal{A}(G_A \times U)$. The same arguments work for $\widehat{T_{12}}$ and $(\widehat{\mathcal{T}} \otimes I)$ because they agree on a dense set $\mathcal{A}(G_A \times U) \otimes \mathcal{A}(G_A)$. For two other equalities we will use two lemmas that will be proven in the end of the proof of the statement a) of the proposition.

Lemma 3.8. *Let* $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Delta_1, \Delta_2$ *be differential groupoids, and* $h_1 : \Gamma_1 \longrightarrow\!\!\!\triangleright \Delta_1$, $h_2 : \Gamma_2 \longrightarrow\!\!\!\triangleright \Delta_2$ *be morphisms. Assume that the mappings* $\widehat{h_1}, \widehat{h_2}$ *extends to morphisms* ϕ_1, ϕ_2 *of corresponding reduced* C^*-*algebras. Then* $(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(\omega) = (\widehat{h_1 \times h_2})(\omega)$ *for* $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$

Lemma 3.9. *For* $\omega_1 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A \times U)$ *and* $\omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A \times G_A)$*:*

$$T_1[(\widehat{\delta_0 \times id})(\omega_1)\omega_2] = (\widehat{\delta_0 \times id})(T\omega_1)\omega_2,$$

$$T_2[(\widehat{id \times \delta_0})(\omega_1)\omega_2] = (\widehat{id \times \delta_0})(T\omega_1)\omega_2.$$

Now, the multiplier $(id \otimes \Delta_0)\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ is defined by

$$[(id \otimes \Delta_0)\widehat{\mathcal{T}}]((id \otimes \Delta_0)(a)b) := (id \otimes \Delta_0)(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}a)b\,,\ a \in C_r^*(G_A \times G_A), b \in C_r^*(G_A \times G_A \times G_A).$$

In fact, it is enaugh to take $a = \omega_1 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A \times U)$ and $b = \omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A \times G_A)$. Let us compute:

$$[(id \otimes \Delta_0)\widehat{\mathcal{T}}]((id \otimes \Delta_0)(\omega_1)\omega_2) = (id \otimes \Delta_0)(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}\omega_1)\omega_2 =$$

$$= (\widehat{id \times \delta_0})(T\omega_1)\omega_2 = T_2[(\widehat{id \times \delta_0})(\omega_1)\omega_2] = T_2[(id \otimes \Delta_0)(\omega_1)\omega_2]$$

Therefore $(id \otimes \Delta_0)\widehat{\mathcal{T}} = \widehat{T_2}$. In the same way the second equality can be proven. So to complete the proof of the statement a) it remains to prove lemmas 3.8, 3.9

Proof of lemmas:

Lemma 3.8: It is known [6], that for $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$ the mapping $(\widehat{h_1 \times h_2})(\omega)$ defines a multiplier of $C_r^*(\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2) = C_r^*(\Delta_1) \otimes C_r^*(\Delta_2)$. Let π_{12} denotes the representation defined by $h_1 \times h_2$ on $H := L^2(\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2)$. For $\omega' \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2)$ we have:

$$||(\widehat{h_1 \times h_2})(\omega)\omega'||_{C_r^*} = ||\pi_{12}(\omega)\pi_{id}(\omega')||_{B(H)} \leq ||\pi_{12}(\omega)||_{B(H)}\, ||\pi_{id}(\omega')||_{B(H)} = ||\pi_{12}(\omega)||_{B(H)}\, ||\omega'||_{C_r^*}$$

Therefore we have the estimate:

$$||(\widehat{h_1 \times h_2})(\omega)||_{M(C_r^*)} \leq ||\pi_{12}(\omega)||_{B(H)} \leq ||\omega||_0$$

Now take a sequence $\omega_n \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_1) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_2)$ that converges to ω in a norm $||\cdot||_0$, so also in a $C_r^*(\Gamma_1) \otimes C_r^*(\Gamma_2)$. So $(\widehat{h_1 \times h_2})(\omega_n)$ converges to $(\widehat{h_1 \times h_2})(\omega)$ in $M(C_r^*(\Delta_1) \otimes C_r^*(\Delta_2))$. On the other hand $(\widehat{h_1 \times h_2})(\omega_n) = (\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(\omega_n)$ so it converges to $(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2)(\omega)$.

■

Lemma 3.9: To prove those formulae we need the explicit form of the action of T, T_1 and T_2. Let us start with T. Writing $\omega =: F\omega_0$ for $F \in \mathcal{D}(G_A \times U)$ we define: $T(F\omega_0) =: (TF)\omega_0$. As for any bisection TF is given by [7] :

$$(TF)(T(g_1,g_2)) = F(g_1,g_2)\frac{(\rho_0 \otimes \rho_0)(vg_1 \otimes wg_2)}{(\rho_0 \otimes \rho_0)(T(vg_1 \otimes wg_2))}\ ,\ v,w \in \Lambda^{max}(T_eB)$$

Let $(g_1,g_2) = (a_1b_1,a_2b_2)$ and $T(g_1,g_2) =: (\tilde{g}_1,g_2)$. Using the definition of T we obtain, for a curve $b_0(t) \subset B$ with $b_0(0) = e$, $T(b_0(t)g_1,g_2) = (b_3(t)\tilde{g}_1,g_2)$, where

$$b_3(t) = b_L\left[s_A(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2))a_L(a_1^{-1}b_0(t)a_1)s_A(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2))^{-1}\right]^{-1} b_L(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2))^{-1}b_0(t)b_L(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2)),$$

and $T(g_1,b_0(t)g_2) = (b_4(t)\tilde{g}_1,b_0(t)g_2)$.
Identifying tangent spaces to right fibers with T_eB in corresponding points, one sees that the map we have to consider has the form $\begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$, so its action on densities is determined by M_1 i.e. (derivative of) $b_0(t) \mapsto b_3(t)$ and this is given by:

$$M_1 : T_eB \ni \dot{b} \mapsto -P_B \cdot Ad(s_A(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2))) \cdot P_A \cdot Ad(a_1)^{-1}\dot{b} + Ad(b_L(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2)))^{-1}\dot{b}$$

Straightforward computation gives: $M_1 = Ad(b_L(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2)))^{-1}|\mathfrak{b}$. Finally using the definition of ρ_0 and modular functions (40) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(TF)(g_1,g_2) &= F(T^{-1}(g_1,g_2))j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_L(g_2)))^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ (T^{-1}F)(g_1,g_2) &= F(T(g_1,g_2))j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_L(g_2)))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

T_2 defines a map, again denoted by the same letter, of $\mathcal{A}(G_A \times (a_L \times a_L)^{-1}(\delta_0(A')))$. In the same way as for T we have to compute the action of T_2 on $\rho_0 \otimes \rho_0 \otimes \rho_0$. So let $(g_1,g_2,g_3) =: (a_1b_1,a_2b_2,a_3b_3)$ be in the domain of T_2 and $T_2(g_1,g_2,g_3) = (s_A(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3))b_1,g_2,g_3) =: (\tilde{g}_1,g_2,g_3)$. For a curve $b_0(t) \subset B$ with $b_0(0) = e$, we obtain: $T_2(b_0(t)g_1,g_2,g_3) = (b_1(t)\tilde{g}_1,g_2,g_3)$ and

$$b_1(t) = b_R\left[b_L(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3))^{-1}a_1b_R(a_1^{-1}b_0(t)a_1)a_1^{-1}b_L(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3))\right]$$

Reasoning in the same way as for T, the action on densities is determined by the map:

$$T_eB \ni \dot{b} \mapsto P_B \cdot Ad(s_A(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3))) \cdot P_B \cdot Ad(a_1)^{-1}\dot{b}$$

Using the definition of modular functions (40) one gets that the absolute value of the determinanant of this map is equal to: $\frac{j_B(a_R(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)))}{j_B(a_1)\varphi_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3))}$. In this way, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}(T_2F)(g_1,g_2,g_3) &= F(T_2^{-1}(g_1,g_2,g_3))j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (T_2^{-1}F)(g_1,g_2,g_3) &= F(T_2(g_1,g_2,g_3))j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Finally, similar computations as for T_2 give for T_1:

$$\begin{aligned}(T_1F)(a_1b_1,a_2b_2a_3b_3) &= F(T_1^{-1}(a_1b_1,a_2b_2a_3b_3))j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_3))^{\frac{1}{2}}j_B(b_L(a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)^{-1}))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ (T_1^{-1}F)(a_1b_1,a_2b_2a_3b_3) &= F(T_1(a_1b_1,a_2b_2a_3b_3))j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_3))^{-\frac{1}{2}}j_B(b_L(a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)))^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Now we can prove formulae in the lemma. Let us compute the left hand side of the first equality:

$$T_1[(\widehat{\delta_0 \times id})(F_1)F_2](a_1b_1,a_2b_2,a_3b_3) =$$

$$= [(\widehat{\delta_0 \times id})(F_1)F_2]\left(s_A(a_1b_L(a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)^{-1}))b_1,s_A(a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)^{-1})b_2,a_3b_3\right)\frac{j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_3))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{j_B(b_L(a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)^{-1}))^{\frac{1}{2}}} =$$

$$= \frac{j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_3))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{j_B(b_L(a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)^{-1}))^{\frac{1}{2}}}\int_{B\times B} d_lb'd_lb''j_B(b_L(a_R(a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)^{-1})b'))^{-\frac{1}{2}}F_1(a_R(a_1a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)^{-1})b',a_3b'')\times$$

$$\times F_2\left(b_L(a_1a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)b')^{-1}a_1b_1, a_R(a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)^{-1}b')b'^{-1}\tilde{b}_L(a_3)b_2, b_L(a_3b'')^{-1}a_3b_3\right) =$$

$$= j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_3))^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_{B\times B} d_lb'd_lb'' j_B(b_L(a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)^{-1}b'))^{-\frac{1}{2}}F_1(a_R(a_1a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)^{-1})b', a_3b'')\times$$

$$\times F_2\left(b_L(a_1a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)b')^{-1}a_1b_1, a_R(a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)^{-1}b')b'^{-1}\tilde{b}_L(a_3)b_2, b_L(a_3b'')^{-1}a_3b_3\right)$$

The first equality uses formula (20) for T_1 , the second one the formula (7) and the third one the equality:

$$j_B(b_L(a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)^{-1}))j_B(b_L(a_R(a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)^{-1})b')) = j_B(b_L(a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)^{-1}b'))$$

And the right hand side:

$$[(\widehat{\delta_0 \times id})(TF_1)F_2](a_1b_1, a_2b_2, a_3b_3) =$$

$$= \int_{B\times B} d_lb'd_lb''(TF_1)(a_1a_2b', a_3b'')F_2(b_L(a_1a_2b')^{-1}a_1b_1, a_R(a_2b')b'^{-1}b_2, b_L(a_3b'')^{-1}a_3b_3)j_B(b_L(a_2b'))^{-\frac{1}{2}} =$$

$$= \int_{B\times B} d_lb'd_lb'' j_B(b_L(a_2b'))^{-\frac{1}{2}}j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_3))^{\frac{1}{2}}F_1(a_R(a_1a_2\tilde{b}_L(a_3)^{-1})\tilde{b}_L(a_3)b', a_3b'')\times$$

$$\times F_2(b_L(a_1a_2b')^{-1}a_1b_1, a_R(a_2b')b'^{-1}b_2, b_L(a_3b'')^{-1}a_3b_3)$$

After the change of variables $(b', b'') \mapsto (\tilde{b}_L(a_3)b', b'')$ in the last integral, we get the equality.

To prove the second formula we compute using formulae (19) for T_2 and (7):

$$T_2[(\widehat{id \times \delta_0})(F_1)F_2](a_1b_1, a_2b_2, a_3b_3) =$$

$$= j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3))^{\frac{1}{2}}[(\widehat{id \times \delta_0})(F_1)F_2](s_A(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)^{-1})b_1, a_2b_2, a_3b_3) =$$

$$= j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3))^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_{B\times B} d_lb'd_lb''\, j_B(b_L(a_3b''))^{-\frac{1}{2}}F_1(a_R(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)^{-1})b', a_2a_3b'')\times$$

$$\times F_2\left(b_L(a_R(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)^{-1})b')^{-1}a_R(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)^{-1})\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)b_1, b_L(a_2a_3b'')^{-1}a_2b_2, a_R(a_3b'')b''^{-1}b_3\right)$$

The first argument of F_2 reads:

$$b_L(a_R(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)^{-1})b')^{-1}a_R(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)^{-1})\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)b_1 =$$

$$= b_L(a_R(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)^{-1})b')^{-1}a_R(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)^{-1})\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)b_1 =$$

$$= b_L(a_R(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)^{-1})b')^{-1}b_L(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)^{-1})a_1b_1 = b_L(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)^{-1}b')^{-1}a_1b_1$$

Now, the right hand side:

$$[(\widehat{id \times \delta_0})(TF_1)F_2](a_1b_1, a_2b_2, a_3b_3) = \int_{B\times B} d_lb'd_lb''\, j_B(b_L(a_3b''))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\times$$

$$\times(TF_1)(a_1b', a_2a_3b'')F_2(b_L(a_1b')^{-1}a_1b_1, b_L(a_2a_3b'')^{-1}a_2b_2, a_R(a_3b'')^{-1}b''^{-1}b_3) =$$

$$= \int_{B\times B} d_lb'd_lb''\, j_B(b_L(a_3b''))^{-\frac{1}{2}}j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3))^{\frac{1}{2}}\times$$

$$\times F_1(b_L(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)^{-1})^{-1}a_1b', a_2a_3b'')F_2(b_L(a_1b')^{-1}a_1b_1, b_L(a_2a_3b'')^{-1}a_2b_2, a_R(a_3b'')b''^{-1}b_3)$$

Now, after the change the variables in this integral $(b', b'') \mapsto (\tilde{b}_L(a_2a_3)b', b'')$ we get the equality.

■

In this way the proof of the statement a) of the prop. 3.5 is completed.

Now we pass to statement b) i.e. density conditions for Δ. Since $\Delta(a)(I\otimes b) = \widehat{\mathcal{T}}\Delta_0(a)\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{-1}(I\otimes b)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ is a unitary multiplier, it is enaugh to prove that $\Delta_0(a)\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{-1}(I \otimes b)$ is linearly dense in $C^*_r(G_A) \otimes C^*_r(G_A)$; the same is true for $\Delta_0(a)\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{-1}(b \otimes I)$. As in [6] we give explicit formulae for $\Delta_0(a)\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{-1}(I \otimes b)$ and $\Delta_0(a)\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{-1}(b\otimes I)$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$ supported in some subsets. Then, by continuouity we obtain inclusions $\Delta_0(a)\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{-1}(I \otimes b) \subset C^*_r(G_A) \otimes C^*_r(G_A)$ and $\Delta_0(a)\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{-1}(b \otimes I) \subset C^*_r(G_A) \otimes C^*_r(G_A)$ for all $a, b \in C^*_r(G_A)$. Finally we prove density conditions.

To get the formula, using change of variables, we write $(\widehat{\delta_0}(f_1)T^{-1}(f_3\otimes f_2 * f_4))$ as $K_1(f_1,f_2)*(f_3\otimes f_4)$ for some function $K_1(f_1,f_2)\in\mathcal{D}(G_A\times G_A)$ at least for f_1,f_2,f_3,f_4 in some large enaugh subspace of functions. Let us define sets and mappings:

$$D(\Phi_1):=\{(a_1b_1,a_2b_2): a_R(a_2^{-1}b_1)\in A'\}=\{(a_1b_1,a_2b_2): a_2^{-1}b_1\in BC\} \tag{21}$$

$$D(\Psi_1):=\{(g_1,g_2): a_L(g_2)\in A'\}=G_A\times a_L^{-1}(A') \tag{22}$$

$$\Phi_1: D(\Phi_1)\ni(a_1b_1,a_2b_2)\mapsto(a_1a_2b_0, a_R(a_2b_0)b_0^{-1}b_2)\,,\ b_0:=\tilde{b}_L(a_2^{-1}b_1) \tag{23}$$

$$\Psi_1: D(\Psi_1)\ni(a_1b_1,a_2b_2)\mapsto(a_1a_R(a_2b_1^{-1})^{-1}b_0, a_R(a_2b_1^{-1})b_1b_2)\,,\ b_0:=\tilde{b}_L(s_A(a_2b_1^{-1})) \tag{24}$$

$$D(\Phi_2):=\{(a_1b_1,a_2b_2): a_1a_2b_2, a_2b_2\in BC\} \tag{25}$$

$$D(\Psi_2):=\{(a_1b_1,a_2b_2): a_1b_1, a_2\in BC\}=a_R^{-1}(A')\times a_L^{-1}(A')\subset D(\Psi_1) \tag{26}$$

$$\Phi_2: D(\Phi_2)\ni(a_1b_1,a_2b_2)\mapsto(a_1a_2b_2, a_R(a_1b_0)b_0^{-1}b_1)\,,\ b_0:=\tilde{b}_L(a_2b_2) \tag{27}$$

$$\Psi_2: D(\Psi_2)\ni(a_1b_1,a_2b_2)\mapsto(b_0\tilde{b_0}^{-1}a_2b_2, a_L^{-1}(b_0\tilde{b_0}^{-1}a_2)a_1b_1)\,,\ b_0:=\tilde{b}_L(a_1b_1)\,,\ \tilde{b_0}:=\tilde{b}_L(a_2)$$

Lemma 3.10. *Sets $D(\Phi_1), D(\Psi_1), D(\Phi_2), D(\Psi_2)$ are open. Φ_1 is a diffeomorphism of $D(\Phi_1)$ and $D(\Psi_1)$ and $\Psi_1=\Phi_1^{-1}$. Φ_2 is a diffeomorphism of $D(\Phi_2)$ and $D(\Psi_2)$ and $\Psi_2=\Phi_2^{-1}$.*

Proof: Short inspection of definitions shows that really these sets are open and mappings are smooth. So it is sufficient to prove that Φ_1,Ψ_1 and Φ_2,Ψ_2 are pairs of mutually inverse mappings. The proof will be given for the first pair, for the second one it is simmilar.
1) The inclusion $\Phi_1(D(\Phi_1))\subset D(\Psi_1)$. Let $(a_1b_1,a_2b_2)\in D(\Phi_1)\,,\ a_2^{-1}b_1=:b_0c_0$.

$$\Phi_1(a_1b_1,a_2b_2)=(a_1a_2b_0, a_R(a_2b_0)b_0^{-1}b_2)=:(\tilde{a}_1\tilde{b}_1,\tilde{a}_2\tilde{b}_2).$$

And we have: $s_A(\tilde{a}_2\tilde{b}_1^{-1})=s_A(a_R(a_2b_0)b_0^{-1})=a_2b_0=b_1c_0^{-1}\in BC$.
2) The inclusion $\Psi_1(D(\Psi_1))\subset D(\Phi_1)$. Let $(a_1b_1,a_2b_2)\in D(\Psi_1)\,,\ s_A(a_2b_1^{-1})=a_R(a_2b_1^{-1})b_1=:b_0c_0$.

$$\Psi_1(a_1b_1,a_2b_2)=(a_1a_R(a_2b_1^{-1})^{-1}b_0, a_R(a_2b_1^{-1})b_1b_2)=:(\tilde{a}_1\tilde{b}_1,\tilde{a}_2\tilde{b}_2).$$

So $\tilde{a}_2^{-1}\tilde{b}_1=a_R(a_2b_1^{-1})^{-1}b_0=b_1c_0^{-1}\in BC$
3) Composition $\Psi_1\Phi_1$:

$$\Psi_1\Phi_1(a_1b_1,a_2b_2)=\Psi_1(a_1a_2b_0, a_R(a_2b_0)b_0^{-1}b_2)=$$
$$=\Psi_1(\tilde{a}_1\tilde{b}_1,\tilde{a}_2\tilde{b}_2)=(\tilde{a}_1a_R(\tilde{a}_2\tilde{b}_1^{-1})^{-1}\tilde{b}_0, a_R(\tilde{a}_2\tilde{b}_1^{-1})\tilde{b}_1\tilde{b}_2)\,,\ \text{where}\, s_A(\tilde{a}_2\tilde{b}_1^{-1})=:\tilde{b}_0\tilde{c}_0.$$

But $s_A(\tilde{a}_2\tilde{b}_1^{-1})=s_A(a_R(a_2b_0)b_0^{-1})=a_2b_0$ i.e. $\tilde{b}_0=b_1$, so we have:

$$\tilde{a}_1a_R(\tilde{a}_2\tilde{b}_1^{-1})^{-1}\tilde{b}_0=a_1a_2a_R(a_R(a_2b_0)b_0^{-1})^{-1}b_1=a_1b_1$$
$$a_R(\tilde{a}_2\tilde{b}_1^{-1})\tilde{b}_1\tilde{b}_2=a_2b_0b_0^{-1}b_2=a_2b_2$$

4) Composition $\Phi_1\Psi_1$:

$$\Phi_1\Psi_1(a_1b_1,a_2b_2)=\Phi_1(a_1a_R(a_2b_1^{-1})^{-1}b_0, a_R(a_2b_1^{-1})b_1b_2)=$$
$$=\Phi_1(\tilde{a}_1\tilde{b}_1,\tilde{a}_2\tilde{b}_2)=(\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{b}_0, a_R(\tilde{a}_2\tilde{b}_0)\tilde{b}_0^{-1}\tilde{b}_2),$$

where $s_A(a_2b_1^{-1})=:b_0c_0$ and $\tilde{a}_2^{-1}\tilde{b}_1=:\tilde{b}_0\tilde{c}_0$. But as shown in point 2) $\tilde{b}_0=b_1$, so

$$\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{b}_0=a_1a_R(a_2b_1^{-1})^{-1}a_R(a_2b_1^{-1})b_1=a_1b_1$$
$$a_R(\tilde{a}_2\tilde{b}_0)\tilde{b}_0^{-1}\tilde{b}_2=a_R(a_R(a_2b_1^{-1})b_1)b_1^{-1}b_1b_2=a_2b_2$$

■

By the lemma $\Phi_1^* : \mathcal{D}(D(\Psi_1)) \to \mathcal{D}(D(\Phi_1))$ and $\Phi_2^* : \mathcal{D}(D(\Psi_2)) \to \mathcal{D}(D(\Phi_2))$ are isomorphisms of vector spaces.

Lemma 3.11. *There exists smooth, positive function* $k_1 : D(\Phi_1) \to \mathbb{R}$ *such that*

$$(k_1\Phi_1^*(f_1 \otimes f_2)) * (f_3 \otimes f_4) = \hat{\delta}_0(f_1)T^{-1}(f_3 \otimes f_2 * f_4)$$

for $f_1, f_3, f_4 \in \mathcal{D}(G_A), f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(a_L^{-1}(A'))$.
There exists smooth, positive function $k_2 : D(\Phi_2) \to \mathbb{R}$ *such that*

$$(k_2\Phi_2^*(f_1 \otimes f_2)) * (f_3 \otimes f_4) = \hat{\delta}_0(f_1)T^{-1}(f_2 * f_3 \otimes f_4)$$

for $f_3 \in \mathcal{D}(G_A), f_2, f_4 \in \mathcal{D}(a_L^{-1}(A')), f_1 \in \mathcal{D}(a_R^{-1}(A'))$.

Since in both cases the set of possible $f_3 \otimes f_4$ is linearly dense in $C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A)$, the lemma means that

$$k_1\Phi_1^*(f_1 \otimes f_2) = \hat{\delta}_0(f_1)T^{-1}(I \otimes f_2)\,,\ f_1, \in \mathcal{D}(G_A), f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(a_L^{-1}(A'))$$
$$k_2\Phi_2^*(f_1 \otimes f_2) = \hat{\delta}_0(f_1)T^{-1}(f_2 \otimes I)\,,\ f_1 \in \mathcal{D}(a_R^{-1}(A')), f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(a_L^{-1}(A'))$$

and by density of subspaces $\mathcal{D}(G_A), \mathcal{D}(a_L^{-1}(A')), \mathcal{D}(a_R^{-1}(A'))$ in $C_r^*(G_A)$ we obtain

$$\Delta(C_r^*(G_A))(I \otimes C_r^*(G_A)),\ \Delta(C_r^*(G_A))(C_r^*(G_A) \otimes I) \subset C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A).$$

Proof of the lemma: Let f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 be as stated. Let us compute:

$$[k_1\Phi_1^*(f_1 \otimes f_2)] * (f_3 \otimes f_4)(a_1b_1, a_2b_2) = \int_{B\times B} d_lb\, d_l\tilde{b}\, k_1(a_1b, a_2\tilde{b})\times$$
$$\times\, [\Phi_1^*(f_1 \otimes f_2)](a_1b, a_2\tilde{b})\, f_3(b_L^{-1}(a_1b)a_1b_1)\, f_4(b_L^{-1}(a_2\tilde{b})a_2b_2)$$

Since $\Phi_1^*(f_1 \otimes f_2) \in \mathcal{D}(D(\Phi_1))$ the integral over b can be restricted to the set $B_{a_2^{-1}} := \{b : a_R(a_2^{-1}b) \in A'\}$. Now, the map $B_{a_2^{-1}} \times B \ni (b, \tilde{b}) \mapsto (b' := \tilde{b}_L(a_2^{-1}b), \tilde{b}) \in B_{a_2} \times B$ is a diffeomorphism, as well as the map $B_{a_2} \times B \ni (b', \tilde{b}) \mapsto (b', b'' := b'^{-1}\tilde{b}) \in B_{a_2} \times B$. Their composition

$$B_{a_2^{-1}} \times B \ni (b, \tilde{b}) \mapsto (b' = \tilde{b}_L(a_2^{-1}b), b'' = \tilde{b}_L^{-1}(a_2^{-1}b)\tilde{b}) \in B_{a_2} \times B$$

has the inverse:

$$\Lambda_{a_2} : B_{a_2} \times B \ni (b', b'') \mapsto (b = \tilde{b}_L(a_2b'), \tilde{b} = b'b'') \in B_{a_2^{-1}} \times B$$

Using this change of variables we write the integral as:

$$\int_{B_{a_2}\times B} d_lb'\, d_lb''\, |\det \Lambda'_{a_2}|\, k_1(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2b'), a_2b'b'')\times$$

$$\times f_1(a_1a_2b')\, f_2(a_R(a_2b')b'')\, f_3(b_L^{-1}(a_1\tilde{b}_L(a_2b')a_1b_1)\, f_4(b_L^{-1}(a_2b'b'')a_2b_2), \tag{28}$$

moreover $|\det \Lambda'_{a_2}|$ is a smooth function of a_2.

On the other hand, using (18) and (43) we compute:

$$(\widehat{\delta_0}(f_1)T^{-1}(f_3 \otimes f_2 * f_4))(a_1b_1, a_2b_2) =$$
$$= \int_B d_lb'\, j_B(b_L(a_2b'))^{-1/2} f_1(a_1a_2b')[T^{-1}(f_3 \otimes f_2f_4)](b_L(a_1a_2b')^{-1}a_1b_1, a_R(a_2b')b^{-1}b_2) =$$
$$= \int_B d_lb'\, j_B(b_L(a_2b'))^{-1/2} j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_R(a_2b')))^{-1/2}\, f_1(a_1a_2b')\times$$
$$\times f_3(b_L(a_1b_L(a_2b')\tilde{b}_L(a_R(a_2b')))^{-1}a_1b_1)\,(f_2 * f_4)(a_R(a_2b')b'^{-1}b_2)$$

Using assumption about f_2 we can restrict domain of integration to the set B_{a_2}, and using formula for multiplication (7) we expand the integral as:

$$= \int_{B_{a_2}\times B} d_lb'\, d_lb''\, j_B(b_L(a_2b'))^{-1/2} j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_R(a_2b')))^{-1/2}\, f_1(a_1a_2b')f_2(a_R(a_2b')b'')\times$$

$$\times f_3(b_L(a_1 b_L(a_2 b')\tilde{b}_L(a_R(a_2 b')))^{-1} a_1 b_1) f_4(b_L(a_2 b' b'')^{-1} a_2 b_2)$$

but $b_L(a_2 b')\tilde{b}_L(a_R(a_2 b')) = \tilde{b}_L(a_2 b')$ so we get:

$$= \int_{B_{a_2}\times B} d_l b'\, d_l b''\, j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_2 b'))^{-1/2}\, f_1(a_1 a_2 b') f_2(a_R(a_2 b')b'')\times$$

$$\times f_3(b_L(a_1 \tilde{b}_L(a_2 b'))^{-1} a_1 b_1) f_4(b_L(a_2 b' b'')^{-1} a_2 b_2)$$

Comparison of this expresion with (3) gives the function k_1 that appears in the lemma.

Now we pass to the second statement of the lemma 3.11. For f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 as in the lemma let's compute:

$$[k_2 \Phi_2^*(f_1 \otimes f_2)] * (f_3 \otimes f_4)(a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2) = \int_{B\times B} d_l b\, d_l \tilde{b}\, k_2(a_1 b, a_2 \tilde{b})\times$$

$$\times [\Phi_2^*(f_1 \otimes f_2)](a_1 b, a_2 \tilde{b})\, f_3(b_L^{-1}(a_1 b) a_1 b_1)\, f_4(b_L^{-1}(a_2 \tilde{b}) a_2 b_2)$$

Since $\Phi_2^*(f_1 \otimes f_2) \in \mathcal{D}(D(\Phi_2))$ the integral over $\tilde{b}$ can be restricted to the set B_{a_2}, and using formula (27) we obtain:

$$\int_{B\times B_{a_2}} d_l b\, d_l \tilde{b}\, k_2(a_1 b, a_2 \tilde{b})\, f_1(a_1 a_2 \tilde{b})\times$$

$$\times f_2(a_R(a_1 \tilde{b}_L(a_2 \tilde{b}))\tilde{b}_L^{-1}(a_2 \tilde{b})b)\, f_3(b_L^{-1}(a_1 b) a_1 b_1)\, f_4(b_L^{-1}(a_2 \tilde{b}) a_2 b_2)$$

The mapping

$$B \times B_{a_2} \ni (b, \tilde{b}) \mapsto (b' := \tilde{b}_L^{-1}(a_2 \tilde{b}) b, b'' := \tilde{b}) \in B \times B_{a_2}$$

is a diffeomorphism with the inverse:

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{a_2} : B \times B_{a_2} \ni (b', b'') \mapsto (b := \tilde{b}_L(a_2 b'') b', \tilde{b} := b'') \in B \times B_{a_2}$$

Therefore our integral is equal to:

$$\int_{B\times B_{a_2}} d_l b'\, d_l b''\, |\det \tilde{\Lambda}'_{a_2}|\, k_2(a_1 \tilde{b}_L(a_2 b'') b', a_2 b'')\, f_1(a_1 a_2 b'')\times$$

$$\times f_2(a_R(a_1 \tilde{b}_L(a_2 b''))b')\, f_3(b_L^{-1}(a_1 \tilde{b}_L(a_2 b'') b') a_1 b_1)\, f_4(b_L^{-1}(a_2 b'') a_2 b_2), \tag{29}$$

and again $|\det \tilde{\Lambda}'_{a_2}|$ is a smooth function of a_2.

On the other hand using (18) and (43) we compute:

$$(\widehat{\delta_0}(f_1) T^{-1}(f_2 * f_3 \otimes f_4))(a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2) =$$

$$= \int_B d_l b''\, j_B(b_L(a_2 b''))^{-1/2} j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_R(a_2 b'')))^{-1/2}\, f_1(a_1 a_2 b'')\times$$

$$\times (f_2 * f_3)(b_L(a_1 b_L(a_2 b')\tilde{b}_L(a_R(a_2 b')))^{-1} a_1 b_1)\, f_4(a_R(a_2 b') b'^{-1} b_2).$$

Again using the assumption about the support of f_4 and expanding the product $f_2 * f_3$ we can write this integral as:

$$\int_{B_{a_2}\times B} d_l b''\, d_l b'\, j_B(\tilde{b}_L(a_2 b''))^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_1(a_1 a_2 b'') f_2(a_R(a_1 \tilde{b}_L(a_2 b''))b')\times$$

$$\times f_3(b_L(a_1 \tilde{b}_L(a_2 b'') b')^{-1} a_1 b_1)\, f_4(b_L(a_2 b'')^{-1} a_2 b_2)$$

Again comparison of this expresion with (3) gives the function k_2 that appears in the lemma.

■

Finally, from the previous lemma and lemma1.1 to prove density conditions it is sufficient to prove the following:

Lemma 3.12. *The linear spaces* $\mathcal{A}(D(\Phi_1))$ *and* $\mathcal{A}(D(\Phi_2))$ *are dense in* $C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A)$.

Proof: It is sufficient to show that a function $F \in \mathcal{D}(G_A \times G_A)$ can be approximated in norm $||\cdot||_0$ by functions supported in $D(\Phi_1)$ and a function $F \in \mathcal{D}(G_A \times a_R^{-1}(A'))$ (by 3.3 (3)) by functions supported in $D(\Phi_2)$.

Let us begin with $D(\Phi_1)$. For an open $V \subset A$ such that $A \setminus A' \subset V$ let $\tilde{\chi}$ be a smooth function on A satisfying conditions: $\tilde{\chi} = 1$ on some neighbourhood of $A \setminus A'$; $0 \leq \tilde{\chi} \leq 1$ and $supp(\tilde{\chi}) \subset V$. Define:

$$\chi(a_1b_1, a_2b_2) := \tilde{\chi}(a_R(a_2^{-1}b_1))$$

Let $F \in \mathcal{D}(G_A \times G_A)$, $supp(F) =: K_F$, then $F = (F - F\chi) + F\chi$ and $(F - F\chi) \in \mathcal{D}(D(\Phi_1))$ so we have to prove that, by choosing V, the C^*-norm of $F\chi$ can be made as small as we wish. It is sufficient to prove that for norms $||F\chi||_l$ and $||F\chi||_r = ||(F\chi)^*||_l$. For the left norm we need to estimate (compare (42)) the integral

$$I_l := \int d_lb_1d_lb_2|F\chi(a_1b_1, a_2b_2)| \text{ for } (a_1, a_2) \in (a_L \times a_L)(K_F).$$

First we estimate this integral from above by $\sup|F| \int_{C(a_1,a_2)} d_lb_1d_lb_2$, where

$$C(a_1, a_2) := \{(b_1, b_2) : (a_1b_1, a_2b_2) \in K_F \,,\, a_R(a_2^{-1}b_1) \in supp(\tilde{\chi})\};$$

Now we have the chain of inclusions:

$$C(a_1, a_2) = \{(b_1, b_2) : (a_1b_1, a_2b_2) \in K_F\} \cap \{(b_1, b_2) : a_R(a_2^{-1}b_1) \in supp(\tilde{\chi})\} \subset$$
$$\subset (b_R \times b_R)(K_F) \cap (\{b \in B : a_R(a_2^{-1}b) \in supp(\tilde{\chi})\} \times B) \subset$$
$$\subset (K_B \times K_B) \cap (\{b \in B : a_R(a_2^{-1}b) \in supp(\tilde{\chi})\} \times B) =$$
$$= (K_B \cap \{b \in B : a_R(a_2^{-1}b) \in supp(\tilde{\chi})\}) \times K_B \subset Z(a_2^{-1}, e, K_B; V) \times K_B$$

where $K_B \subset B$ is a compact set such that $(b_R \times b_R)(K_F) \subset K_B \times K_B$; note that K_B depends only on F. In this way we get the estimate for $(a_1, a_2) \in (a_L \times a_L)(K_F)$:

$$I_l \leq \sup|F| \left(\int_{K_B} d_lb\right) \mu(a_2^{-1}, e, K_B; V)$$

and, if K_1 is a compact subset of A such that $(a_L \times a_L)(K_F) \subset K_1 \times K_1$, we obtain

$$||F\chi||_l \leq \sup|F| \left(\int_{K_B} d_lb\right) \mu(K_1^{-1}, \{e\}, K_B; V) \tag{30}$$

Now the right norm of $F\chi$ i.e. the left norm of $(F\chi)^*$. The integral to estimate is:

$$I_r := \int d_lb_1d_lb_2|(F\chi)^*(a_1b_1, a_2b_2)|$$

As above, first we estimate this integral by $\sup|F| \int_{\tilde{C}(a_1,a_2)} d_lb_1d_lb_2$, where

$$\tilde{C}(a_1, a_2) := \{(b_1, b_2) : (a_1b_1, a_2b_2) \in supp(F\chi)^*\}.$$

Now,

$$(a_1b_1, a_2b_2) \in supp(F\chi)^* \iff (s_A(a_1b_1), s_A(a_2b_2)) \in supp(F\chi) \iff$$
$$\iff \left[(s_A(a_1b_1), s_A(a_2b_2)) \in K_F \wedge a_R(a_R(a_2b_2)^{-1}b_1^{-1}) \in supp(\tilde{\chi})\right]$$

Let us denote $\tilde{K}_F := (s_A \times s_A)(K_F)$, so we have to estimate the integral $\int_{\tilde{C}(a_1,a_2)} d_lb_1d_lb_2$ for $(a_1, a_2) \in (a_L \times a_L)(\tilde{K}_F)$. Again there is an inclusion:

$$\tilde{C}(a_1, a_2) \subset (\tilde{K}_B \times \tilde{K}_B) \cap \{(b_1, b_2) : a_R(a_R(a_2b_2)^{-1}b_1^{-1}) \in supp\tilde{\chi}\},$$

where $\tilde{K}_B$ is a compact set such that $(b_R \times b_R)(\tilde{K}_F) \subset \tilde{K}_B \times \tilde{K}_B$.
For fixed $b_2 \in \tilde{K}_B$ define $\tilde{a} := a_R(a_2 b_2)$ and consider the set

$$\{b \in \tilde{K}_B : a_R(\tilde{a}^{-1} b^{-1}) \in supp(\tilde{\chi})\} = \{b \in \tilde{K}_B^{-1} : a_R(\tilde{a}^{-1} b) \in supp(\tilde{\chi})\}^{-1} \subset Z(\tilde{a}, e, \tilde{K}_B^{-1}, V)^{-1}$$

Since all the sets $Z(\tilde{a}, e, \tilde{K}_B^{-1}; V)$ are subsets of the fixed compact set $\tilde{K}_B^{-1}$ there exists a constant $M(\tilde{K}_B)$ depending only on $\tilde{K}_B$, such that

$$\int_{Z(\tilde{a},e,\tilde{K}_B^{-1};V)^{-1}} d_l b \leq M(\tilde{K}_B) \int_{Z(\tilde{a},e,\tilde{K}_B^{-1};V)} d_l b = M(\tilde{K}_B)\mu(\tilde{a}, e, \tilde{K}_B^{-1}; V)$$

But $\tilde{a} = a_R(a_2 b_2)$ belongs to a fixed compact set $K_2 = a_R(\tilde{K}_A \tilde{K}_B)$, where $\tilde{K}_A$ is a compact set such that $(a_L \times a_L)\tilde{K}_F \subset \tilde{K}_A \times \tilde{K}_A$ Putting all together we get the estimate:

$$||F\chi||_r \leq \sup|F| M(\tilde{K}_B)\mu(K_2, \{e\}, \tilde{K}_B^{-1}; V) \left(\int_{\tilde{K}_B} d_l b\right) \tag{31}$$

This inequality together with (30) and the condition (4) of assumptions (3.3) gives the density of $\mathcal{A}(D(\Phi_1))$.

Now we pass to $D(\Phi_2)$. Let $\tilde{\chi}$ be as above and define $\chi(a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2) := \tilde{\chi}(a_R(a_1 a_2 b_2))$. It is sufficient to approximate functions $F \in \mathcal{D}(G_A \times a_R^{-1}(A'))$ and to this end we need an estimate for $||F\chi||_l$ and $||(F\chi)^*||_l$. Let $K_F := supp(F)$ and $(a_1, a_2) \in (a_L \times a_L)(K_F)$. As above we have inequality

$$\int d_l b_1 d_l b_2 |F\chi| \leq \sup|F| \int_{C(a_1,a_2)} d_l b_1 d_l b_2, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C(a_1, a_2) &:= \{(b_1, b_2) : (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2) \in K_F \,,\ a_R(a_1 a_2 b_2) \in supp(\tilde{\chi})\} \subset \\ &\subset (K_B \times K_B) \cap (B \times \{b \in B : a_R(a_1 a_2 b) \in supp(\tilde{\chi})\}) = \\ &= K_B \times (K_B \cap \{b \in B : a_R(a_1 a_2 b) \in supp(\tilde{\chi})\}) = K_B \times Z(a_1 a_2, e, K_B, V), \end{aligned}$$

where $K_B \subset B$ is a compact such that $(b_R \times b_R)(K_F) \subset K_B \times K_B$; In this way we obtain the estimate:

$$||F\chi||_l \leq \sup|F| \left(\int_{K_B} d_l b\right) \mu(K_1, \{e\}, K_B; V), \textit{ where} \tag{32}$$

$K_1 = K_A K_A$ for a compact $K_A \subset A$ with $(a_L \times a_L)(K_F) \subset K_A \times K_A$.

Finally we pass to $(F\chi)^*$. As above, we consider the set

$$\tilde{C}(a_1, a_2) := \{(b_1, b_2) : (s_A(a_1 b_1), s_A(a_2 b_2)) \in supp(F\chi)\}$$

Let $\tilde{K}_F := (s_A \times s_A)(K_F)$ and $\tilde{K}_B \subset B$ be a compact set such that $(b_R \times b_R)(\tilde{K}_F) \subset \tilde{K}_B \times \tilde{K}_B$. It is sufficient to consider $(a_1, a_2) \in (a_L \times a_L)(\tilde{K}_F)$.

$$\tilde{C}(a_1, a_2) \subset (\tilde{K}_B \times \tilde{K}_B) \cap \{(b_1, b_2) : a_R(a_R(a_1 b_1) b_L(a_2 b_2)^{-1}) a_2 \in V\}$$

Let us fix $b_1 \in \tilde{K}_B$, denote $a_R(a_1 b_1) =: \tilde{a}$ and consider the set

$$S(a_1, a_2, b_1) := \tilde{K}_B \cap \{b \in B : a_R(\tilde{a} b_L(a_2 b)^{-1}) a_2 \in V\}.$$

The map $\varphi_{a_2} : b \mapsto b_L(a_2 b)$ is a diffeomorphism so

$$\{b \in B : a_R(\tilde{a} b_L(a_2 b)^{-1}) a_2 \in V\} = \varphi_{a_2}^{-1}(\{b \in B : a_R(\tilde{a} b^{-1}) a_2 \in V\})$$

and

$$\tilde{K}_B \cap \{b \in B : a_R(\tilde{a} b_L(a_2 b)^{-1}) a_2 \in V\} = \varphi_{a_2}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{a_2}(\tilde{K}_B) \cap \{b \in B : a_R(\tilde{a} b_L(a_2 b)^{-1}) a_2 \in V\}\right)$$

The sets $\varphi_{a_2}(\tilde{K}_B) = b_L(a_2 \tilde{K}_B)$ are contained in a fixed compact set $H_B \subset B$ therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{a_2}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{a_2}(\tilde{K}_B) \cap \{b \in B : a_R(\tilde{a} b_L(a_2 b)^{-1}) a_2 \in V\}\right) &\subset \varphi_{a_2}^{-1}\left(\{b \in H_B : a_R(\tilde{a} b^{-1}) a_2 \in V\}\right) = \\ &= \varphi_{a_2}^{-1}\left(Z(\tilde{a}, a_2, H_B^{-1}; V)^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi_{a_2}^{-1} = \varphi_{a_2^{-1}}$ and a_2 is contained in a fixed compact set depending only on $\tilde{K}_F$ there exists a constant $W(\tilde{K}_F)$ such that

$$\int_{\varphi_{a_2}^{-1}(K)} d_l b \leq W(\tilde{K}_F) \int_K d_l b$$

in this way, for fixed b_1 we obtain that

$$\int_{S(a_1,a_2,b_1)} d_l b_2 \leq W(\tilde{K}_F) M(H_B) \mu(\tilde{a}, a_2, H_B^{-1}; V),$$

where $M(H_B)$ is as before (31). Finally, since $\tilde{a} = a_R(a_1 b_1)$ is contained in a compact set $\tilde{K}_A$ depending only on K_F and a_2 is contained in a fixed compact $\tilde{K}_1 \subset A'$ we obtain the estimate

$$||(F\chi)^*||_l \leq \sup |F| \, W(\tilde{K}_F) M(H_B) \mu(\tilde{K}_A, \tilde{K}_1, H_B^{-1}; V) \left(\int_{\tilde{K}_B} d_l b \right)$$

This estimate together with (32) and condition (4) of assumptions (3.3) proves the density of $\mathcal{A}(D(\Phi_2))$.

■

This completes the proof of the statement b) of prop 3.5.

■

4. 'AX+B' AGAIN

Now we apply results of the previous section to the 'ax+b' group. In this section we keep notation from section 2: G is the 'ax+b' group;

$$B := \{(b, 1) \,,\, b \in \mathbb{R}\} \,,\; C := \{(c-1, c) \,,\, c \in \mathbb{R}_*\} \,,\; A := \{(0, a) \,,\, a \in \mathbb{R}_*\}$$

We have $A \cap C = B \cap C = \{e\}$ and $G = BC$. We apologize the reader for changing roles of subgroup and present the short 'dictionary' from section 3 to 'ax+b' notation.

section 3	A	B	C	$A' = A \cap BC$	a_L, a_R	b_L, b_R	$\tilde{b}_L, \tilde{b}_R$	$\delta_0 = m_B^T$	$\tilde{m}_B^T$
$\mathrm{ax+b}$	B	C	A	$B' = B \cap CA$	b_L, b_R	c_L, c_R	$\tilde{c}_L, \tilde{c}_R$	$\delta_0 = m_C^T$	$\tilde{m}_C^T$

So our main object here is a groupoid G_B related to the double Lie group $(G; B, C)$ together with coassociative morphism $\delta_0 = m_C^T : G_B \mathrel{\longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\triangleright} G_B \times G_B$. We identify G with $B \times C = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_*$ by:

$$\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_* \ni (z, c) \mapsto (z, 1)(c-1, c) = (z + c - 1, c) \in G$$

In this presentation the relevant objects are given by:

$$b_L(z,c) = (z,1) \,,\, b_R(z,c) = (\frac{z}{c}, 1) \,,\, c_L(z,c) = c_R(z,c) = (0,c) \,,\, \tilde{c}_L(z,c) = (0, z+c)$$

$$m_B = \{(z, c_1 c_2; z, c_1, \frac{z}{c_1}, c_2)\} \;,\; \delta_0 = \{(z_1, c, z_2, c; z_1 + z_2, c)\} \;,\; B' = \{(z,1) : z + 1 \neq 0\}$$

$$T := \{(z_1, \frac{1}{1+z_2}, z_2, 1) : z_2 + 1 \neq 0\}$$

$$\tilde{m}_C := \{(z_1 + z_2 + z_1 z_2, c; z_1, \frac{c+z_2}{1+z_2}, z_2, c) : (1+z_1)(1+z_2)(z_2+c)(z_1+z_2+z_1 z_2 + c) \neq 0\}$$

We will also need explicit formulae for operations in $\mathcal{A}(G_B)$.

The choice of ω_0. Let $\lambda_0(z,1)(\partial_c) := 1$. This choice leads to the left invariant half density λ_0, the corresponding right invariant half density ρ_0 and $\omega_0 := \lambda_0 \otimes \rho_0$. The formulae are:

$$\lambda_0(z,c)(c\partial_c) = \rho_0(z,c)(z\partial_z + c\partial_c) = 1 \tag{33}$$

The multiplication and $*$-operation in $\mathcal{A}(G_B)$ are given by:

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z,c) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_*} \frac{\mathrm{d}c_1}{|c_1|} f_1(z, c_1) f_2(zc_1^{-1}, cc_1^{-1}) \;,\; f^*(z,c) := \overline{f(zc^{-1}, c^{-1})}. \tag{34}$$

The choice of ψ_0. We choose $\nu_0(z) := |dz|^{1/2}$ and obtain $\psi_0(z,c)(\partial_z,\partial_c) = \frac{1}{|c|}$, and the formula for the scalar product in $\mathcal{D}(G_B)$ reads:

$$(f_1,f_2) = \int_\Gamma \frac{\mathrm{dz}\,\mathrm{dc}}{c^2}\overline{f_1(z,c)}f_2(z,c)$$

and the formula for π_{id}:

$$(\pi_{id}(f)\psi)(z,c) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_*}\frac{\mathrm{dc}_1}{|c_1|}f(z,c_1)\psi(zc_1^{-1},cc_1^{-1})\,,\ f\in\mathcal{A}(G_B)\,,\ \psi\in\mathcal{D}(G_B)$$

Now we are going to verify assumptions 3.3. The first and the second one are obvious, so let's pass to the third one. We have to verify that $\mathcal{A}(b_L^{-1}(B'))$ is dense in $C_r^*(G_B)$. Take $f,\psi\in\mathcal{D}(G_B)$ and compute:

$$||\pi_{id}(f)\psi||^2 = \int\frac{\mathrm{dy}\,\mathrm{dc}}{c^2}|\pi_{id}(f)\psi(y,c)|^2 = \int\frac{\mathrm{dy}\,\mathrm{dc}}{c^2}\left|\int\frac{\mathrm{db}}{|b|}f(y,b)\psi(yb^{-1},cb^{-1})\right|^2$$

Now we use Schwartz inequality for functions: $b\mapsto f(y,b)$ and $b\mapsto\psi(yb^{-1},cb^{-1})$:

$$\left|\int\frac{\mathrm{db}}{|b|}f(y,b)\psi(yb^{-1},cb^{-1})\right|^2 \le \left(\int\frac{\mathrm{db}}{|b|}|f(y,b)|^2\right)\left(\int\frac{\mathrm{db}}{|b|}|\psi(yb^{-1},cb^{-1})|^2\right)$$

and get the estimate:

$$||\pi_{id}(f)\psi||^2 \le \int\mathrm{dy}\left(\int\frac{\mathrm{db}}{|b|}|f(y,b)|^2\right)\int\frac{\mathrm{dc}\,\mathrm{db}}{|b|c^2}|\psi(yb^{-1},cb^{-1})|^2$$

Note that for $y\neq 0$ applying the change of variables $(b,c)\mapsto(yb^{-1},cb^{-1})$ to the integral on the right we obtain:

$$\int\frac{\mathrm{dc}\,\mathrm{db}}{|b|c^2}|\psi(yb^{-1},cb^{-1})|^2 = \frac{1}{|y|}\int\frac{\mathrm{dc}\,\mathrm{db}}{c^2}|\psi(b,c)|^2$$

Therefore if $supp\,\psi\subset\{(z,c): z\neq 0\}$ we get:

$$||\pi_{id}(f)\psi||^2 \le ||\psi||^2\left(\int\frac{\mathrm{dy}\,\mathrm{db}}{|yb|}|f(y,b)|^2\right) =: ||\psi||^2||f||_2^2 \tag{35}$$

Since the set of such ψ's is dense the estimate is valid for any ψ and we get $||f||\le||f||_2$.

For $\epsilon>0$, let $\chi_\epsilon:\mathbb{R}\to[0,1]$ be a smooth function that is 1 on some neighbourhood of 0 and 0 on the set $\{x\in\mathbb{R}:|x|\ge\epsilon\}$. Let $f\in\mathcal{D}(G_B)$ and for $z_0\neq 0$ let $f_\epsilon(z,c) := \chi_\epsilon(z-z_0)f(z,c)$. Now the estimate given above implies $f_\epsilon\to 0$ in $C_r^*(G_B)$ as $\epsilon\to 0$. But $f=(f-f_\epsilon)+f_\epsilon$ and $f-f_\epsilon\in\mathcal{D}(b_L^{-1}(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{z_0\}))$. This proves that statement (3) of (3.3) is true in our situation.

Now we are going to verify the fourth condition in assumptions (3.3) which in our situation takes form: Let $K_C\subset C$ be compact, $V\subset B$ open and $(z_1,z_2)\in B\times B'$. Let

$$Z(z_1,z_2,K_C;V) := K_C\cap\{c\in C: b_R(z_1,c)(z_2,1)\in V\},$$

and the function $\mu(z_1,z_2,K_C;V)$ be given by:

$$B\times B'\ni(z_1,z_2)\mapsto\mu(z_1,z_2,K_C;V) := \int_{Z(z_1,z_2,K_C;V)}d_lc.$$

Let $K_1\subset B$ and $K_2\subset B'$ be compact and $\mu(K_1,K_2,K_C;V) := \sup\{\mu(z_1,z_2,K_C;V): z_1\in K_1\,,\ z_2\in K_2\}$ Then

$$\forall\,\epsilon>0\,\exists\,V - \mathrm{a\,neighbourhood\,of}\,B\setminus B'\,\mathrm{in\,B}\,:\ \mu(K_1,K_2,K_C;V)\le\epsilon \tag{36}$$

It is sufficient to check this condition for $K_C = K_m := \{c: m\le|c|\le\frac{1}{m}\}$, $m<1$. Using formula for b_R we get $b_R(z_1,c)(z_2,1) = (\frac{z_1}{c}+z_2,1)$ and

$$Z(z_1,z_2.K_m;V) = \{c\in\mathbb{R}_*: m\le|c|\le\frac{1}{m}\,,\ \frac{z_1}{c}+z_2\in V\}\,,\ 1+z_2\neq 0.$$

The left invariant measure on C is $\frac{dc}{|c|}$ and we obtain:

$$\mu(z_1,z_2,K_m;V) := \int_{Z(z_1,z_2,K_m;V)} \frac{dc}{|c|} \leq \frac{1}{m}\int_{Z(z_1,z_2,K_m;V)} dc$$

We will look for $V = V_\delta := \{z \in \mathbb{R} : |z+1| < \delta\}$. In this situation $Z(z_1,z_2,K_m;V_\delta)$ is given by c satisfying inequalities:

$$m \leq |c| \leq \frac{1}{m}\,,\ \left|\frac{z_1}{c} + z_2 + 1\right| < \delta\ \ ,\ (1+z_2 \neq 0)$$

The second inequality is for $\delta < |1+z_2|$ equivalent to:

$$\frac{-sgn(c)sgn(1+z_2)z_1}{\delta + |1+z_2|} < |c| < \frac{-sgn(c)sgn(1+z_2)z_1}{|1+z_2| - \delta}$$

and we get for $sgn(1+z_2)z_1 \geq 0$:

$$c < 0\,,\ m \leq |c| \leq \frac{1}{m}\,,\ \frac{|z_1|}{\delta + |1+z_2|} < |c| < \frac{|z_1|}{|1+z_2| - \delta}$$

and for $sgn(1+z_2)z_1 < 0$:

$$c > 0\,,\ m \leq |c| \leq \frac{1}{m}\,,\ \frac{|z_1|}{\delta + |1+z_2|} < |c| < \frac{|z_1|}{|1+z_2| - \delta}$$

Therefore the integral $\int_{Z(z_1,z_2,K_m;V)} dc$ is majorized by:

$$\frac{|z_1|}{|1+z_2| - \delta} - \frac{|z_1|}{\delta + |1+z_2|} = \frac{2\delta|z_1|}{|1+z_2|^2 - \delta^2}$$

and there is an estimate (for $\delta < |1+z_2|$):

$$\mu(z_1,z_2,K_m;V_\delta) \leq \delta\frac{2|z_1|}{m(|1+z_2|^2 - \delta^2)}$$

It is sufficient to consider $K_1 = K_M := \{z \in \mathbb{R} : |z| \leq M\}$ and $K_2 = \tilde{K}_M := \{z \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{1}{M} \leq |z+1| \leq M\}$ for $M > 1$. For $(z_1,z_2) \in K_M \times \tilde{K}_M$ and $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2M}$ we have:

$$\mu(z_1,z_2,K_m;V_\delta) \leq \delta\frac{2M}{m(|1+z_2|^2 - \delta^2)} \leq \delta\frac{2M}{m(1/M^2 - 1/(4M^2))} = \delta\frac{8M^3}{3m}$$

So there is an estimate:

$$\mu(K_M,\tilde{K}_M,K_m;V_\delta) \leq \delta\frac{8M^3}{3m}$$

and the fourth statement of assumptions (3.3) is fulfilled. Therefore we have

Proposition 4.1. *Let G be the 'ax+b' group and $A := \{(0,a) : a \in \mathbb{R}_*\}$, $B := \{(b,1) : b \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $C := \{(c-1,c) : c \in \mathbb{R}_*\}$. The reduced C^* algebra of a differential groupoid $\Gamma = AC \cap CA$ over A is isomorphic to $C^*_r(G_B)$ – the reduced C^* algebra of a differential groupoid related to a double Lie group $(G;B,C)$. It is equipped with a comultiplication $\Delta = \widehat{\mathcal{T}}\Delta_0\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{-1}$ satysfying the density conditions, where $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ is a unitary multiplier and satisfies:*

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{T}} \otimes I)(\Delta_0 \otimes id)\widehat{\mathcal{T}} = (I \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{T}})(id \otimes \Delta_0)\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$$

5. Generators and relations

In this section we denote the groupoid G_B by Γ and identify it with the right transformtion groupoid $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_*$ with the action $(z, c) \mapsto z/c$. The set of units will be denoted by E and the set $\{z : z + 1 \neq 0\}$ by E'. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let $B_t := \{(z, e^t) : z \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \Gamma$. Then B_t is a one parameter group of bisections of Γ, therefore it defines one parameter group $\hat{B}_t$ of unitaries on $L^2(\Gamma)$ which are multipliers of $C^*_r(\Gamma)$. Easy computations show that $B_t\psi_0 = \psi_0$ (where ψ_0 was defined in section 2) so $\hat{B}_t(f\psi_0) =: (\hat{B}_t f)\psi_0$ and

$$(\hat{B}_t f)(z, c) = f(e^{-t}z, e^{-t}c)\,,\ f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma).$$

The set $J := \{(z, -1) : z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a bisection, $J^2 = E$, so it defines unitary, selfadjoint operator $\hat{J}$ which is a multiplier of $C^*_r(\Gamma)$. Again $J\psi_0 = \psi_0$ so

$$(\hat{J}f)(z, c) := f(-z, -c)\,,\ f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$$

Let $Y : \mathbb{R} \ni z \mapsto z \in \mathbb{R}$ and let the operator $\hat{Y}$ be defined by:

$$(\hat{Y}f)(z, c) := Y(z)f(z, c) = zf(z, c)\,,\ f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$$

Then the closure of $\hat{Y}$, which will be denoted by the same letter, is an unbounded, selfadjoint operator affiliated with $C^*_r(\Gamma)$. On $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ these operators satisfy commutation relations:

$$\hat{J}\hat{B}_t = \hat{B}_t\hat{J}\ ,\ \hat{J}\hat{Y} + \hat{Y}\hat{J} = 0\,,\ e^t\hat{B}_t\hat{Y} = \hat{Y}\hat{B}_t$$

Note that the last relation gives precise meaning to the relation $[\hat{X}, \hat{Y}] = i\hat{Y}$, for $\hat{X}$- generator of $\hat{B}_t$. Additionaly if $\hat{Y} = sgn(\hat{Y})|\hat{Y}|$ is a polar decomposition then .

$$|\hat{Y}|\hat{J} = \hat{J}|\hat{Y}|\ ,\ e^t\hat{B}_t|\hat{Y}| = |\hat{Y}|\hat{B}_t$$

Lemma 5.1. *The group $\hat{B}_t$ is strictly continuous. Let $\hat{X}$ denote the generator of $\hat{B}_t$, then $\hat{X}$ is affiliated with $C^*_r(\Gamma)$. On $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ $\hat{X}f = i(z\partial_z + c\partial_c)f$ and $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ is a core for $\hat{X}$.*

Proof: Since $\hat{B}_t$ is a group of unitary multipliers, strict continuity is equivalent to continuity of the mapping $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto B_t f \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ in $t = 0$ for any $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$. The mapping $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \times \Gamma \ni (t, \gamma) \mapsto B_t(\gamma) \in \Gamma$ is continuous. Therefore if $|t| \leq \delta$ support of $B_t f$ is contained in a compact set $\Phi([-\delta, \delta] \times supp\, f)$ and $B_t f$ converges to f uniformly as t goes to 0. So, by the lemma 1.1 also in $C^*_r(\Gamma)$. By the general result [8] $\hat{X}$ is affiliated to $C^*_r(\Gamma)$. To prove the second claim it is enaugh to show that $\frac{1}{t}(B_t f - f)$ converges to $s(z\partial_z + c\partial_c)f$ uniformly, but since f is smooth this is clear. Finally, since $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ is B_t-invariant, it is a core for $\hat{X}$. ■

Proposition 5.2. *$C^*_r(\Gamma)$ is generated by $\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{J}$.*

Proof: The proof is based on identification of $C^*_r(\Gamma)$ with crossed product $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \times_\alpha \mathbb{R}_*$. This is known, but we need explicit form of the isomorphism so we present relevant formulae. Let a Lie group H acts from the right on a manifold X. Let α be the corresponding (left) action on $C_0(X)$ (i.e. $(\alpha_h f)(x) := f(xh)$). Since on a Lie group the Haar measure is given by a left invariant density, we choose such a real half density λ. Then we have $\int_H f(h)d_l(h) = \int_H f\lambda^2\,,\ f \in \mathcal{D}(H)$. The modular function is given by $\delta(h) = |detAd_h|^{-1}$. These data define the $*$-algebra structure on $\mathcal{D}(X \times H)$ by:

$$(F * G)(x, h) := \int_H \lambda^2(h')F(x, h')G(xh', h'^{-1}h)\,,\ (F^*)(x, h) := \delta(h)^{-1}\overline{F(xh, h^{-1})}$$

The algebra $C_0(X)$ is represented faithfully on $L^2(X)$ by multiplication. The induced representation Π of the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{D}(X \times H)$ in $L^2(X \times H)$ is given by: $\Pi(F)(G\Psi_0) =: (\pi(F)G)\Psi_0\,,\ G \in \mathcal{D}(X \times H)$, and

$$(\Pi(F)G)(x, h) := \int \lambda^2(k)F(xh^{-1}, k)G(x, k^{-1}h),$$

where $\Psi_0 = \nu_0 \otimes \lambda$ for some real, nonvanishing half density ν_0 on X. The reduced crossed product $C_0(X) \times_{r,\alpha} H$ is the completion of the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{D}(X \times H)$ in the the norm coming from this representation. The canonical morphisms $i_H \in Mor(C^*(H), C_0(X) \times_\alpha H)$ and $i_A \in Mor(C_0(X), C_0(X) \times_\alpha H)$ are given by (the extension of):

$$(i_H(g)F)(x,h) := F(xg, g^{-1}h)\,,\ (i_A(f)F)(x,h) := f(x)F(x,h)\,,\ f \in \mathcal{D}(X)$$

On the other hand we have the transformation groupoid $\Delta := X \times H$, the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ and the C^*-algebra $C_r^*(\Delta)$. Let us choose $\omega_0 := \lambda_0 \otimes \rho_0$, where λ_0 is defined by $\lambda_0(x,e)(v) := \lambda(e)(v)$ and let us define $\Psi := \nu \otimes \rho_0$. With such a choice the formulae for operations in $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ and the representation π_{id} are as follows:

$$(f * g)(x,h) := \int \lambda^2(k) f(x,k) g(xk, k^{-1}h)\ ,\ f^*(x,h) := \overline{f(xh, h^{-1})},\ \pi_{id}(f)(g\Psi) = (f * g)\Psi$$

For $h \in H$ let B_h denotes the operator acting on $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ defined by a bisection $\{(x,h) : x \in X\}$. Now define $\varphi : \mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{D}(X \times H)$ by $(\varphi(f\omega_0))(x,h) := \delta(h)^{-1/2} f(x,h)$ and let $V : L^2(X \times H) \to L^2(X \times H)$ be a unitary defined as a push-forward by a diffeomorphism $(x,h) \mapsto (xh^{-1}, h)$. Using the definitions above ane proves:

Lemma 5.3. *The mapping φ is an isomorphism of $*$-algebras. For $h \in H$, $k \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$: $i_H(h)\varphi(\omega) = \varphi(B_h(\omega))$ and $i_A(k)\varphi(\omega) = \varphi(k\omega)$ and $V\Pi(\varphi(\omega))V^* = \pi_{id}(\omega)$.* ■

Therefore φ extends to an isomorphism of $C_r^*(\Delta)$ and $C_0(X) \times_{r,\alpha} H$. Since $\mathbb{R}_*$ is abelian the reduced and universal crossed product coincide and we have $C_r^*(\Gamma) = C_0(\mathbb{R}) \times_\alpha \mathbb{R}_*$, where $(\alpha_c f)(x) := f(x/c)$. By the universality of crossed product we have the following:

Lemma 5.4. *Let A be a C^*-algebra, G-locally compact group and (A, G, α) be a dynamical system. Let $B := A \times_\alpha G$ be the corresponding crossed product with canonical morphisms $i_A \in Mor(A, B)$ and $i_G \in Mor(C^*(G), B)$. If A is generated by $X_1, X_2, \dots X_k$ and $C^*(G)$ by $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$, then B is generated by $i_A(X_1), \dots, i_A(X_k)$, and $i_G(Y_1), \dots, i_G(Y_n)$.* ■

Now it is clear that $C^*(\mathbb{R}_*)$ is generated by the the generator of one parameter group $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto e^t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_*)$ and the unitary corresponding to element $-1 \in \mathbb{R}_*$. Also $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ is generated by the function $\mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto x \in \mathbb{R}$. Using two previous lemmas one gets the proof of the proposition. ■

In the remaining part of this section we express the twist $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ as a function of generators and compute the action of the comultiplication on generators. It turns out that it is given by formulae (1).

For the morphism δ_0 defined in (9) we have :

$$\delta_0(B_t) = B_t \times B_t\ ,\ \delta_0(J) = J \times J\,,\ \delta_0(Y) = I \otimes Y + Y \otimes I$$

so

$$\Delta_0(\hat{X}) = \hat{X} \otimes I + I \otimes \hat{X}\,,\ \Delta_0(\hat{J}) = \hat{J} \otimes \hat{J}\,,\ \Delta_0(\hat{Y}) = I \otimes \hat{Y} + \hat{Y} \otimes I$$

The expressions for $\Delta_0(\hat{X})$ and $\Delta_0(\hat{Y})$ should be read in the following sense. Since $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{Y}$ are essentialy selfadjoint on $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ the sums are essentialy selfadjoint on $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ and their closures are to equal the left hand sides.

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let us define sets:

$$\mathcal{T}_t := \{(z_1, |1+z_2|^{-t}, z_2, 1) : 1 + z_2 \neq 0\}\,,\ ,\ K := \{(z_1, sgn(1+z_2), z_2, 1) : 1 + z_2 \neq 0\}. \tag{37}$$

Both of these sets are sections of the right and left projections over $E \times E'$, therefore they define (by the left multiplication) diffeomorphisms of $\Gamma \times U$, where $U := \{(z,c) : z \in E'\}$, and corresponding mappings of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma \times U)$, which will be denoted by T_t and K. Explicitely :

$$T_t(z_1, c_1, z_2, c_2) = (z_1|1+z_2|^t, c_1|1+z_2|^t, z_2, c_2),$$

$$K(z_1,c_1,z_2,c_2)=(z_1 sgn(1+z_2),c_1 sgn(1+z_2),z_2,c_2)$$

and for $f\in\mathcal{A}(\Gamma\times U)$:

$$(T_t f)(z_1,c_1,z_2,c_2)=f(T_{-t}(z_1,c_1,z_2,c_2)),\ (Kf)(z_1,c_1,z_2,c_2)=f(K(z_1,c_1,z_2,c_2))$$

The formulae above define also unitary operators $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_t$ and $\widehat{K}$ on $L^2(\Gamma\times\Gamma)$.

Lemma 5.5. *We have the equality of sets:* $\mathcal{T}_t\mathcal{T}_r=\mathcal{T}_{t+r}$, $\mathcal{T}_1K=K\mathcal{T}_1=\mathcal{T}$. *The family* $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_t$ *is a strictly continuous group of unitary multipliers of* $C^*_r(\Gamma)\otimes C^*_r(\Gamma)$. *Let* Z *be its generator, then on* $sA(\Gamma\times U)$ $Z=\hat{X}\otimes\log|\hat{Y}+I|$ *and* $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma\times U)$ *is a core for* Z.

Proof: First statement is straighforward. Also the fact that $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_t$ is a group of unitary multipliers is clear. So only strict continuity and a statement about Z require a proof. But this can be done exactly as in lemma 5.1. ■

Recall that for a group G a *bicharacter* c is a map $c:G\times G\to S^1$ with the properties $c(g_1,g_2g_3)=c(g_1,g_2)c(g_1,g_3)$ and $c(g_1g_2,g_3)=c(g_1,g_3)c(g_2,g_3)$. The function $Ch:\mathbb{Z}_2\times\mathbb{Z}_2\to\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$Ch(\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2):=\begin{cases}-1 & \text{if}\quad \epsilon_1=\epsilon_2=-1\\ 1 & \qquad\text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

is a bicharacter and the function $\chi:(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{Z}_2)\times(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{Z}_2)\to\mathbb{C}$

$$\chi(x,\epsilon_1,y,\epsilon_2):=\exp(ixy)\,Ch(\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2)$$

is a bicharacter.

Let us define the unitary

$$V:=\chi(I\otimes\log|\hat{Y}+I|,I\otimes sgn(\hat{Y}+I),\hat{X}\otimes I,\hat{J}\otimes I),$$

i.e. $V=\exp(i\hat{X}\otimes\log|\hat{Y}+I|)\,Ch(I\otimes sgn(\hat{Y}+I),\hat{J}\otimes I)$.

Lemma 5.6. $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}=V$

Proof: By the previous lemma $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}=\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_1\widehat{K}=\exp(\hat{X}\otimes\log|\hat{Y}+I|)\widehat{K}$ so it remains to prove that $\hat{K}=Ch(I\otimes sgn(\hat{Y}+I),\hat{J}\otimes I)$. If A,B are commuting operators with spectrum contained in $\{-1,1\}$ then easy computations show that $Ch(A,B)=\frac{1}{2}(I+A+B-AB)$. Applying this formula to operators $A:=I\otimes sgn(\hat{Y}+1)$ and $B:=\hat{J}\otimes I$ one obtains:

$$Ch(I\otimes sgn(\hat{Y}+I),\hat{J}\otimes I)=\frac{1}{2}(I\otimes(I+sgn(I+\hat{Y}))+\hat{J}\otimes(I-sgn(I+\hat{Y})))$$

and checks that this is exactly $\widehat{K}$. ■

In this way we obtain formulae for comultiplication on generators:

$$\Delta(\hat{Y})=V(\hat{Y}\otimes I+I\otimes\hat{Y})V^*,$$

$$\Delta(\hat{X})=V(\hat{X}\otimes I+I\otimes\hat{X})V^*,$$

$$\Delta(\hat{J})=V(\hat{J}\otimes\hat{J})V^*$$

On $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma\times U)$ we have:

$$\Delta(\hat{X})=\hat{X}\otimes(\hat{Y}+I)^{-1}+I\otimes\hat{X}\,,\ \Delta(\hat{Y})=I\otimes\hat{Y}+\hat{Y}\otimes I+\hat{Y}\otimes\hat{Y}$$

and these are relations (1).

6. Poisson-Lie strucure

In this section we consider the family of groupoids $\Gamma_s\,, s \in \mathbb{R}$ over A defined in the section (2). We define $\lambda_s(0,a)(\partial_b + s\partial_a) := 1$. The corresponding left and right invariant half densities on Γ_s are given by:

$$\lambda_s(b,a)(\partial_b + s\partial_a) = \rho_s(b,a)(\partial_b + s\frac{a}{1+sb}\partial_a) := |1+sb|^{-1/2}$$

We put $\omega_s := \lambda_s \otimes \rho_s$ and identify $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma_s)$ with $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_s)$ with multiplication and involution defined by $(f\omega_s)(g\omega_s) =: (f *_s g)\omega_s$ and $(f\omega_s)^* =: (f^{*_s})\omega_s$:

$$\begin{aligned}(f *_s g)(b,a) &:= \int \frac{\mathrm{dc}}{|1+sc|} f(c, a + s(c-b))\, g(\frac{b-c}{1+sc}, \frac{a}{1+sc}) = \\ &= \int \frac{\mathrm{dc}}{|1+sc|} f(\frac{b-c}{1+sc}, a - sc\frac{1+sb}{1+sc})\, g(c, a\frac{1+sc}{1+sb})\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

and $f^{*_s}(b,a) := \overline{f(\frac{-b}{1+sb}, \frac{a}{1+sb})}$. We will write $f * g$ and f^* instead of $f *_0 g$ and f^{*_0}. For $M > 1$ let $K_M := \{(b,a) \in \Gamma_0 : |b| \le M\,,\ \frac{1}{M} \le |a| \le M\}$, it is clear that any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0)$ is supported in K_M for sufficiently large M. The product $*_s$ is in fact defined for all $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0)$:

Lemma 6.1. *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0)$ have supports in K_M. Then for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $f *_s g$ defined by (38) is smooth and has the support in the a set $\{(b,a) \in \Gamma_0 : |b| \le M(2 + |s|M)\,,\ \frac{1}{M(1+M^2|s|)} \le |a| \le M(1+|s|M)\}$. In particular, for $|s| \le \delta$ functions $f *_s g$ have supports contained in a fixed compact set.*

Proof: Let $(b,a,s) \in \Gamma_0 \times \mathbb{R}$. It is straightforward that the function

$$\mathbb{R} \ni b' \mapsto f(b', a + s(b'-b))g(\frac{b-b'}{1+sb'}, \frac{a}{1+sb'})$$

is smooth and has compact support contained in the set $\{b' \in \mathbb{R} : 1 + sb' \neq 0\}$, so smoothness follows. For $(f *_s g)(b,a) \neq 0$ it is necessary that there exists b' such that

$$|b'| \le M\,,\ \frac{1}{M} \le |a + s(b'-b)| \le M\,,\ \left|\frac{b-b'}{1+sb'}\right| \le M\,,\ \frac{1}{M} \le \left|\frac{a}{1+sb'}\right| \le M.$$

If $|a| < \frac{1}{M(1+M^2|s|)}$ then

$$\frac{1}{M} \le |a + s(b'-b)| \le |a| + |s||b'-b| < \frac{1}{M(1+M^2|s|)} + |s|M|1+sb'| \le$$

$$\le \frac{1}{M(1+M^2|s|)} + |s|M^2|a| < \frac{1}{M(1+M^2|s|)} + |s|M^2\frac{1}{M(1+M^2|s|)} = \frac{1}{M}$$

In a similar way, if $|a| > M(1+|s|M)$ then:

$$1 + |s|M \ge 1 + |s||b'| \ge |1+sb'| \ge \frac{|a|}{M} > 1 + |s|M$$

The estimates for $|a|$ are proven. If $|b| > M(2+|s|M)$ then

$$M(2+|s|M) < |b| \le |b-b'| + |b'| \le M|1+sb'| + M \le M(1+|s|M) + M = M(2+|s|M).$$

■

The choice $\nu(a)(\partial_a) := \frac{1}{|a|}$ defines real, non vanishing half density $\Psi_s := \rho_s \otimes \nu$ on Γ_s and short calculation gives: $\Psi_s(b,a)(\partial_b, \partial_a) =: \Psi_0(b,a)(\partial_b, \partial_a) = \frac{1}{|a|}$. This makes possible identification of all spaces $L^2(\Gamma_s)$ with $L^2(\Gamma_0)$. The identity representation of $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_s)$ is then given by: $\pi_s(f)(g\Psi_0) = (f *_s g)\Psi_0$ for $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_s)$. The norms on $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_s)$ defined by ω_s will be denoted by $||f||_{l,s}$, $||f||_{r,s}$, $||f||_{0,s}$ and $||f||_s$ is the norm of $\pi_s(f)$. Finally let us define for $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0)$:

$$\{f, g\} := (a-1)[(\partial_a f) * (bg) - (\partial_a g) * (bf)].$$

In this formula $(a-1)f$ and bf denote functions $((a-1)f)(b,a) := (a-1)f(b,a)$ and $(bf)(b,a) := bf(b,a)$.

Lemma 6.2. *$\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ is a Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0)$ and $\{f_1^*, f_2^*\} = \{f_2, f_1\}^*$.*

Proof: The mappings $f \mapsto (a-1)\partial_a f$ and $f \mapsto bf$ are commuting derivations of a commutative algebra $(\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0), *)$, moreover $(a-1)\partial_a(f^*) = ((a-1)\partial_a f)^*$ and $bf^* = -(bf)^*$ ■

It is clear that if $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0)$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_s)$ for all $|s| \leq \delta$, e.g. if support of f is contained in a set K_M, take any $\delta < \frac{1}{M^2}$. Let us define linear spaces

$$D(Q_s) := \{f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0) : |\delta| \leq |s| \Rightarrow f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\delta)\}.$$

This family of subspaces has properties:

$$|r| \leq |s| \Rightarrow D(Q_s) \subset D(Q_r)\,,\; D(Q_s)^* = D(Q_s)\,,\; \bigcup_{s\neq 0} D(Q_s) = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0)$$

Let us define linear map $Q_s : \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0) \supset D(Q_s) \ni f \mapsto f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_s)$. With this definition we have

Proposition 6.3. (1) *$Q_0 = id$;*
(2) *$\lim_{s\to 0} ||Q_s(f^*) - Q_s(f)^{*_s}||_s = 0$;*
(3) *$\lim_{s\to 0} ||Q_s(f) *_s Q_s(g) - Q_s(f * g)||_s = 0$;*
(4) *$\lim_{s\to 0} ||\frac{1}{s}[Q_s(f), Q_s(g)] - Q_s(\{f,g\})||_s = 0$;*
(5) *The function $s \mapsto ||Q_s(f)||_s$ is continuous for $s \neq 0$ and lower semicontinuous at $s = 0$.*

Proof: First statement is evident. To prove statements (2)-(4) it is enaugh to prove that norms $||\cdot||_{0,s}$ converge to 0. In fact we claim that convergence of norms $||\cdot||_{l,s}$ is sufficient. In the following to simplify notation we write $Q_s(f)Q_s(g)$ instead of $Q_s(f) *_s Q_s(g)$ and $Q_s(f)^*$ instead of $Q_s(f)^{*_s}$. Let us compute:

$$||Q_s(f^*)^* - Q_s(f)||_{r,s} = ||Q_s(f^*) - Q_s(f)^*||_{l,s} = ||Q_s((f^*)^*)^* - Q_s(f^*)||_{l,s} = ||Q_s(f_1^*)^* - Q_s(f_1)||_{l,s},$$

where $f_1 := f^*$. So once we know that $\lim_{s\to 0} ||Q_s(f^*)^* - Q_s(f)||_{l,s} = 0$ for any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0)$, the second statement is proven.
In a similar way:

$$||Q_s(f)Q_s(g) - Q_s(f * g)||_{r,s} = ||Q_s(g)^*Q_s(f)^* - Q_s(f * g)^*||_{l,s}$$

Let $f_1 := f^*$, $g_1 := g^*$, then

$$Q_s(g)^*Q_s(f)^* - Q_s(f * g)^* = Q_s(g_1^*)^*Q_s(f_1^*)^* - Q_s(f_1^* * g_1^*)^* =$$
$$(Q_s(g_1^*)^* - Q_s(g_1))\,(Q_s(f_1^*)^* - Q_s(f_1)) + (Q_s(g_1^*)^* - Q_s(g_1))\,Q_s(f_1) + Q_s(g_1)\,(Q_s(f_1^*)^* - Q_s(f_1)) +$$
$$+\,(Q_s(g_1 * f_1) - Q_s((g_1 * f_1)^*)^*) + Q_s(g_1)Q_s(f_1) - Q_s(g_1 * f_1)$$

And once more:

$$||[Q_s(f), Q_s(g)] - sQ_s(\{f,g\})||_{r,s} = ||[Q_s(f), Q_s(g)]^* - sQ_s(\{f,g\})^*||_{l,s}$$

$$[Q_s(f), Q_s(g)]^* - sQ_s(\{f,g\})^* = Q_s(g)^*Q_s(f)^* - Q_s(f)^*Q_s(g)^* - sQ_s(\{f,g\})^* =$$
$$= Q_s(g_1^*)^*Q_s(f_1^*)^* - Q_s(f_1^*)^*Q_s(g_1^*)^* - sQ_s(\{f_1^*, g_1^*\})^* = [Q_s(g_1^*)^*, Q_s(f_1^*)^*] - sQ_s(\{g_1, f_1\}^*)^* =$$
$$= [Q_s(g_1^*)^* - Q_s(g_1), Q_s(f_1^*)^* - Q_s(f_1)] + (Q_s(g_1^*)^* - Q_s(g_1))Q_s(f_1) + [Q_s(g_1), Q_s(f_1^*)^* - Q_s(f_1)] +$$
$$-s(Q_s(\{g_1, f_1\}^*)^* - Q_s(\{g_1, f_1\})) + ([Q_s(g_1), Q_s(f_1)] - sQ_s(\{g_1, f_1\}))$$

Using the equalities above one can see that to prove statements 2-4 of the proposition it is enaugh to prove

Lemma 6.4. *For any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0)$ there is $\delta > 0$, $C > 0$ such that $||Q_s(f)||_{l,s} \leq C$ for $|s| \leq \delta$; Moreover for any $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0)$:*

$$\lim_{s\to 0} ||Q_s(f^*)^{*_s} - Q_s(f)||_{l,s} = \lim_{s\to 0} ||Q_s(f) *_s Q_s(g) - Q_s(f * g)||_{l,s} =$$

$$= \lim_{s\to 0} ||\frac{1}{s}[Q_s(f), Q_s(g)] - Q_s(\{f,g\})||_{l,s} = 0.$$

Proof: Let $suppf \subset K_M \subset \Gamma_s$ for $|s| \leq \delta$. Then $||Q_s(f)||_{l,s} = \sup_{a\in A} \int_{B_s} \frac{db'}{|1+sb'|} |f(b', a + sb')|$. For $\delta < \frac{1}{M}$: $\frac{1}{|1+sb'|} \leq \frac{1}{1-|s|M}$ on the support of f, therefore $||Q_s(f)||_{l,s} \leq \frac{2M}{1-|s|M} \sup|f|$ and the estimate proves the first statement.

Let $suppf \cup suppf^* \subset K_M$, for any $|s| < \frac{1}{M^2}$ $suppf, suppf^* \subset \Gamma_s$. Define $F(b,a) := (Q_s(f^*)^{*_s} - Q_s(f))(b,a) = f(\frac{b}{1+sb}, \frac{a}{1+sb}) - f(b,a)$. Fix $a \in A$ and consider the function $b' \mapsto |F(b', a + sb')|$. Its support is contained in $S_1 \cup S_2$ for $S_1 := \{b' : \left|\frac{b'}{1+sb'}\right| \leq M\,, \frac{1}{M} \leq \left|\frac{a+sb'}{1+sb'}\right| \leq M\}$ and $S_2 := \{b' : |b'| \leq M\,, \frac{1}{M} \leq |a + sb'| \leq M\}$. Now, for $|s|\frac{1}{2M}$ we get that union of these sets is contained in the set $S_3 := \{b' : |b'| \leq 2M\}$ and on this set we have estimates:

$$\left|\frac{1}{1+sb'}\right| \leq 2 \text{ and } \frac{1}{M} \leq \left|\frac{a+sb'}{1+sb'}\right| \leq 2M.$$

Using these estimates and equalities: $\frac{b'}{1+sb'} = b' + \frac{-sb'^2}{1+sb'}$, $\frac{a+sb'}{1+sb'} = a + sb' + \frac{-sb'(a+sb')}{1+sb'}$ we get:

$$|F(b', a+sb')| \leq \sup||f'|| \left(\left|\frac{-sb'^2}{1+sb'}\right| + \left|\frac{-sb'(a+sb')}{1+sb'}\right|\right) \leq |s| \sup||f'||(2|b'|^2 + 2M|b'|)$$

It follows that $\lim_{s\to 0} ||F||_{l,s} = 0$, so $\lim_{s\to 0} ||Q_s(f^*)^{*_s} - Q_s(f)||_{l,s} = 0$.

For sufficiently small $|s|$ the functions $(Q_s(f)Q_s(g) - Q_s(f * g))$ have support in a fixed compact subset of Γ_s, so we have to show that $Q_s(f)Q_s(g) - Q_s(f * g)$ converges to 0 uniformly. So choose M such that $supp\, f \cup supp\, g \cup supp(f * g) \subset K_M$, $|s|M^2 < 1$. Then

$$(Q_s(f)Q_s(g) - Q_s(f*g))(b,a) = \int_{-M}^{M} \mathrm{d}b' \left(\frac{1}{1+sb'} f(b', a + s(b-b'))g(\frac{b-b'}{1+sb'}, \frac{a}{1+sb'}) - f(b',a)g(b-b',a)\right).$$

For $|b'| \leq M$ we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{1+sb'} f(b', a+s(b-b'))g(\frac{b-b'}{1+sb'}, \frac{a}{1+sb'}) - f(b',a)g(b-b',a)\right| \leq$$

$$\leq (1+sb')^{-1} \left|f(b', a+s(b-b'))g(\frac{b-b'}{1+sb'}, \frac{a}{1+sb'}) - f(b',a)g(b-b',a) - sb'f(b',a)g(b-b',a)\right| \leq$$

$$\leq (1-|s|M)^{-1} \left(\left|f(b', a+s(b-b'))g(\frac{b-b'}{1+sb'}, \frac{a}{1+sb'}) - f(b',a)g(b-b',a)\right| + |s|M \sup|fg|\right)$$

For the first term there is an estimate:

$$\left|f(b', a+s(b-b'))g(\frac{b-b'}{1+sb'}, \frac{a}{1+sb'}) - f(b',a)g(b-b',a)\right| \leq$$

$$\leq |s| \sup|g| \sup|\partial_a f||b-b'| + \sup|f| \left|g(\frac{b-b'}{1+sb'}, \frac{a}{1+sb'}) - g(b-b',a)\right|$$

and finally:

$$\left|g(\frac{b-b'}{1+sb'}, \frac{a}{1+sb'}) - g(b-b',a)\right| \leq |s|M \sup||g'|| \left(\left|\frac{b-b'}{1+sb'}\right| + \left|\frac{a}{1+sb'}\right|\right) \leq$$

$$\leq |s|M \sup||g'||(1-|s|M)^{-1}(|b| + |a| + M),$$

since b, a are in a fixed compact set, convergence is uniform and $\lim_{s\to 0} ||Q_s(f) *_s Q_s(g) - Q_s(f*g)||_{l,s} = 0$.

In a similar way, to prove the third equality we have to show that the functions $\frac{1}{s}[Q_s(f), Q_s(g)] - Q_s(\{f,g\})$ converge to 0 uniformly. Again, let $f, g, \{f,g\}$ be supported in $K_M \subset \Gamma_s$:

$$(Q_s(f)Q_s(g))(b,a) = \int_{-M}^{M} \frac{\mathrm{d}b'}{1+sb'} f(b', a + s(b'-b))\, g(\frac{b-b'}{1+sb'}, \frac{a}{1+sb'})$$

$$(Q_s(g)Q_s(f))(b,a) = \int_{-M}^{M} \frac{\mathrm{d}b'}{1+sb'} g(\frac{b-b'}{1+sb'}, a - sb'\frac{1+sb}{1+sb'})\, f(b', a\frac{1+sb'}{1+sb})$$

$$(Q_s(\{f,g\}))(b,a) = (a-1)\int_{-M}^{M} \mathrm{d}b' \left[(\partial_a f)(b',a)\,(b-b')\, g(b-b',a) - b'\, f(b',a)\,(\partial_a g)(b-b',a)\right]$$

(in the formula for $Q_s(g)Q_s(f)$ we use second expression of (38)). This computation is straightforward and can be done as in the previous point. This ends the prove of the lemma and statements (2)-(4) of the proposition (6.3) ■

Now we come to the last point. Let's start with lower semicontuity at $s = 0$. So we have to prove that: $\forall\, f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0)\, \forall\, \epsilon > 0\, \exists\, \delta > 0\ (|s| < \delta \Rightarrow ||Q_s(f)||_s > ||f|| - \epsilon)$ ($||f||$–denotes the operator norm of $\pi_0(f)$ on $L^2(\Gamma_0)$). The following simple lemma reduces the problem to strong convergence of operators $Q_s(f)$:

Lemma 6.5. *Let H be a Hilbert space, $A \in B(H)$, A_s - family of bounded operators defined for some neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V \subset H$ a dense subspace. Assume that for every $v \in V$ $\lim_{s\to 0} A_s v = Av$. Then $\forall\, \epsilon > 0\, \exists\, \delta > 0\ (|s| < \delta \Rightarrow ||A_s|| > ||A|| - \epsilon)$* ■

So we have to prove that for $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0)$ $f *_s g - f * g$ converges to 0 in $L^2(\Gamma_0)$ as s goes to 0. But for sufficiently small $|s|$ these functions have supports in a fixed compact set, so it is enaugh to prove uniform convergence and this can be done as in the previous points.

Now, for $s, r \neq 0$, consider the map $\Phi_{sr} : \Gamma_r \ni (b,a) \mapsto (\frac{r}{s}b, a) \in \Gamma_s$. This is a diffeomorphism and an isomorphism of groupoids. It defines a $*$-isomorphism $\Phi_{sr} : \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_r) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_s)$ and unitary operator V_{sr} on $L^2(\Gamma_0)$. The formulae are:

$$(\Phi_{sr} f)(b,a) := |\frac{s}{r}| f(\frac{s}{r}b, a)\ ,\ V_{sr}(f\Psi_0) = \sqrt{|\frac{r}{s}|}(\Phi_{sr} f)\Psi_0\ ,\ f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_r)$$

Moreover, we have:

$$V_{rs}V_{sr} = I\ ,\ V_{rs}\pi_s(f)V_{sr} = \pi_r(\Phi_{rs}(f))\ ,\ f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_s)$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_s)$ then there exists $|s| > \delta > 0$ such that $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_{s+\epsilon})$ for $|\epsilon| < \delta$.

$$||Q_{s+\epsilon}(f)||_{s+\epsilon} = ||\pi_{s+\epsilon}(f)|| = ||V_{ss+\epsilon}\pi_{s+\epsilon}(f)V_{s+\epsilon s}|| = ||\pi_s(\Phi_{ss+\epsilon}(f))|| = ||Q_s(\Phi_{ss+\epsilon}(f))||_s$$

Now, it is straightforward to check that the functions $\Phi_{ss+\epsilon}(f) - f$ have support in a fixed compact set and tend to 0 uniformly as ϵ goes to 0. This ends the proof of the proposition (6.3). ■

The groupoid Γ_0 is a (trivial) bundle of groups $\mathbb{R}$, as such it can be identified with its Lie algebroid $\mathbb{R} \times A$. For $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0)$ let $(\mathcal{F}f)(\beta, a) := \int db\, e^{-2\pi i\beta b} f(b,a)$ be the (partial) Fourier transform. Let $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_0))$, then it is a $*$-subalgebra of smooth functions (with respect to pointwise multiplication and complex conjugation as an involution) on a dual bundle. For $F, G \in \mathcal{B}$ let us define:

$$\{F, G\} := -i\mathcal{F}(\{\mathcal{F}^{-1}F, \mathcal{F}^{-1}G\})$$

Then straightforward calculation gives:

$$\{F,G\}(\beta,a) = \frac{a-1}{2\pi}\left((\partial_a F)(\partial_\beta G)(\beta,a) - (\partial_a G)(\partial_\beta F)(\beta,a)\right) \tag{39}$$

On the other hand recall the morphism $m_B^T : \Gamma_0 \longrightarrow\!\!\triangleright\, \Gamma_0 \times \Gamma_0$ defined in section 2

$$Gr(m_B^T) := \{(b_2 a_1, a_1, b_2, a_2; b_2 a_1, a_1, a_2)\}$$

Applying the cotangent lift (see [5]) we obtain the morphism (of symplectic groupoids) $T^*(\Gamma_0)$ and $T^*(\Gamma_0) \times T^*(\Gamma_0)$, its base map is nothing but the multiplication in the subgroup $(TA)^0 \subset T^*(\Gamma_0)$. The map $\mathbb{R} \times A \ni (\beta, a) \mapsto \beta db(0,a) \in (TA)^0$ identifies the group $(TA)^0$ with $\mathbb{R} \times A$ with the multiplication:

$$(\beta_1, a_1)(\beta_2, a_2) := (\beta_1 + a_1^{-1}\beta_2, a_1 a_2)$$

(which is again 'ax+b' group in different presentation). One easily checks that the bracket (39) is a Poisson-Lie bracket on this group.

7. Appendix

Here we collect some formulae proven in [6] and used in this paper. $(G; A, B)$ is a double Lie group, $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ are corresponding Lie algebras and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$ (direct sum of vector spaces).

Modular functions. Let P_A, P_Bbe projections in $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$. Let us define:

$$j_A(g) := |\det(P_A Ad(g)|_{\mathfrak{a}})|\,,\ j_B(g) := |\det(P_B Ad(g)|_{\mathfrak{b}})| \tag{40}$$

The choice of ω_0. Choose a real half-density $\mu_0 \neq 0$ on T_eB and define left-invariant half-density on G_A by

$$\lambda_0(g)(v) := \mu_0(g^{-1}v)\,,\ v \in \Lambda^{max} T^l_g G_A$$

Then the corresponding right-invariant half-density is given by:

$$\rho_0(g)(w) := j_B(a_L(g))^{-1/2}\mu_0(wg^{-1})\,,\ w \in \Lambda^{max} T^r_g G_A.$$

Multiplication and comultiplication in $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$ After a choice of ω_0 as above, the multiplication in $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$ reads: $(f_1\omega_0)(f_2\omega_0) =: (f_1 * f_2)\omega_0$ and

$$(f_1 * f_2)(g) = \int_B d_l b\, f_1(a_L(g)b) f_2(b_L(a_L(g)b)^{-1}g) =$$

$$\int_B d_r b\, j_B(a_L(ba_R(g)))^{-1} f_1(gb_R(ba_R(g))^{-1}) f_2(ba_R(g)), \tag{41}$$

where $d_l b$ and $d_r b$ are left and right Haar measures on B defined by μ_0.

The $||\cdot||_l$ defined by this ω_0 is given by:

$$||f||_l = \sup_{a\in A} \int_B d_l b\, |f(ab)| \tag{42}$$

The formula for $\hat{\delta}_0$ reads

$$\hat{\delta}_0(f\omega_0)(F(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0)) =: (\hat{\delta}_0(f)F)(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0)$$

$$(\hat{\delta}_0(f)F)(a_1b_1, a_2b_2) = \int_B d_l b j_B(b_L(a_2b))^{-1/2} f(a_1a_2b) F(b_L(a_1a_2b)^{-1}a_1b_1, a_R(a_2b)b^{-1}b_2) \tag{43}$$

The mappings $(\widehat{id \times \delta_0})$ and $(\widehat{\delta_0 \times id})$ are given by:

$$[(\widehat{id \times \delta_0})(F_1)F_2](a_1b_1, a_2b_2, a_3b_3) = \int_{B\times B} d_l b' d_l b'' F_1(a_1b', a_2a_3b'')\times$$

$$\times F_2(b_L(a_1b')^{-1}a_1b_1, b_L(a_2a_3b'')^{-1}a_2b_2, a_R(a_3b'')^{-1}b''^{-1}b_3) j_B(b_L(a_3b''))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{44}$$

$$[(\widehat{\delta_0 \times id})(F_1)F_2](a_1b_1, a_2b_2, a_3b_3) = \int_{B\times B} d_l b' d_l b'' F_1(a_1a_2b', a_3b'')\times$$

$$\times F_2(b_L(a_1a_2b')^{-1}a_1b_1, a_R(a_2b')b'^{-1}b_2, b_L(a_3b'')^{-1}a_3b_3) j_B(b_L(a_2b'))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{45}$$

References

[1] G. Skandalis, *Duality for locally compact 'quantum groups'* (joint work with S. Baaj), Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, Taungsbericht 46/1991, C^*-algebren, 20,10-26.10.1991,p. 20;

[2] S. Vaes, L. Vainerman, *Extensions of locally compact quantum groups and the bicrossed product constraction*, Adv. in Math **175** (1) (2003), 1-101;

[3] S. Baaj, G. Skandalis, S. Vaes *Non-semi-regular quantum groups coming from number theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **235** (1) (2003), 139-167;

[4] S. Zakrzewski *Quantum and Classical pseudogroups I* Comm. Math. Phys. **134** (1990), 347-370;

[5] S. Zakrzewski *Quantum and classical pseudogroups. II. Differential and symplectic pseudogroups*, Comm. Math. Phys., **134** (2) (1990), 371-395;

[6] P. Stachura, *From double Lie groups to quantum groups*, Fund. Math. **188** (2005), 195-240.

[7] P. Stachura, *Differential groupoids and C^*-algebras*, math.QA/9905097, for a short exposition see: *C^*-algebra of a differential groupoid*, Banach Center Publ 51, Inst. Math. Polish Acad. Sci., 2000, 263-281.

[8] S. L. Woronowicz, K. Napiórkowski, *Operator theory in the C^*-algebra framework*, Reports on Math. Phys. **31** (3) (1992), 353-371.

Faculty of Applied Informatics and Mathematics, Warsaw University of Life Sciences-SGGW, ul Nowoursynowska 166, 02-787 Warszawa, Poland, e-mail: stachura@fuw.edu.pl